Ore Peninsula Redevelopment Project

Municipality of Skagway Skagway, Alaska

30% Basis of Design



1.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Municipality of Skagway (MOS) takes possession of the Ore Peninsula in March of 2023 and intends to replace several of the existing waterfront facilities to effectively accommodate cruise vessels. The following are the proposed design criteria for the Cruise Ship Dock and the Roll-On-Roll-Off (RORO) Dock and Ramp.

2.0 DESIGN CODES

Including, but not limited to:

- IBC 2012, International Building Code with Local Amendments
- ASCE/SEI 7-16, Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures
- ASCE/COPRI 61-14 Seismic Design of Piers and Wharves
- ACI 318-14 Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete
- AWS D1.1-15, D1.4-17, D1.8-16 Structural Welding Code
- AISC 360-16 Specification for Structural Steel Buildings
- AISC 341-16 Seismic Provisions for Structural Steel Buildings (2005)
- AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Manual 9th Edition
- AASHTO LRFD Guide Specifications for the Design of Pedestrian Bridges
- 2015 Uniform Plumbing Code
- 2018 International Fuel Gas Code
- 2021 International Mechanical Code
- 2021 International Fire Code

3.0 GENERAL

3.1 Site Datums

3.1.1 Vertical Datum:

Mean lower low water (MLLW=0.0') S.S. survey feet, based on the NOAA/NOS tidal bench mark list: 9452400 Skagway, Taiya inlet, Alaska published 05/02/2014.

3.1.2 Horizontal Datum:

Alaska State Plane, Zone 1, US Foot

3.1.3 General Tidal Range

•	Extreme High Water	23.10 ft
•	Mean Higher High Water	16.73 ft
•	Mean Lower Low Water	00.00 ft
•	Extreme Low Water	-6.10 ft



3.1.4 Dredge Depth

-45.00 ft + 2 ft Over-dredge The structures will be designed to accommodate this dredge depth based on the extreme low water and assuming that cruise ships have a 35 ft draft accounting for 3 ft of under draft.

3.1.5 Bathymetry: Soundings are in U.S. survey feet and are minus unless otherwise indicated. Bathymetry was collected by Hughes & Associates on April 6-7, 2022. Soundings were collected using a r2sonic 2022 multibeam echosounder operating at 400 KHZ. Sound velocity through the water column was determined with a Valeport swift sound velocity probe. Position and vessel orientation were measured using an Applanix pos mv system. RTK corrections were broadcast form a local base station occupying "SH-D 2000". Data was collected and processed using Hypack 2022 software. Horizontal and vertical control was surveyed using RTY GNSS equipment and techniques.

3.2 **General Environmental Loading**

3.2.1	Risk Category	III
3.2.2	Wind Speed	
•	Ultimate Wind Speed	130 mph
•	Operational Wind Speed	40 mph (Vessels at berth)
•	Exposure Category	С
3.2.3	Waves (Float Design)	
•	$S_{WAN 50-YRP}$, H_s = 6.8 ft, T_p = 5.2 s	
•	$S_{WAN \ 100-YRP}, H_s = 7.3 \text{ ft}, T_p = 5.4 \text{ s}$	
3.2.4	Seismic Criteria	
•	Ss	0.78g (ATC Hazard Map)
•	S1	0.384g (ATC Hazard Map)
٠	Lateral Spreading: Slope stability, liquefaction a	and lateral spreading will be
	checked per the code defined ground motions.	Ground Improvements may be
	used to stabilize the slope and prevent liquefac	tion in the developed areas
	where pedestrians may congregate.	
3.2.5	Snow	185 psf
3.2.6	Temperature	
٠	Temperature Range	-5° F to 90° F
٠	Base Construction Temperature	30° F

4.0 FACILITY SPECIFIC LOADS

4.1 Cruise Dock

This dock is expected to primarily support the cruise vessels and limited vehicular traffic in the form of smaller vehicles and forklifts. See Appendix G for Float Bid Drawings.

- 4.1.1 Gangway Point Loading
- 4,000 lbs
- 4.1.2 Live Loads 90 psf For floation the float considers 40 psf live load over the entire float or ¼ of the float with 90 psf at any location.
- 4.1.3 Design Vehicles
 - AASHTO H 10 Truck Loading (Emergency Vehicles)





• Hyster Fortis 50 (S50FT) for movement of pallets and cruise ship gangways.



4.1.4 Cruise Vessels Vessel Characteristics – Quantum of the Seas Class

LOA	1141'-9"	348m
LBP (Length at Waterline)	1050'-2"	320.1m
Beam at Waterline	135'-10"	41.4m
Bow Mooring Above Keel (Deck 5)	68'-9"	20.95m
Stern Mooring Above Keel (Deck 3)	47'-1"	14.35m
Design Draft	27'-11″	8.5m
Air Draft	208'-2"	63.44 m
Max Displacement	76,876 LT	78110t
Long. Surface Area – Hull*	49,620 ft ²	4,610 m ²
Long. Surface Area – Superstructure *	113,130 ft ²	10,510 m ²
Transverse Surface Area**	25,460 ft ²	2,365 m ²

¹value estimated from vessel elevation drawings

²value estimated to be 90% of (Beam at Waterline) x (Air Draft) ³A complete list of design vessels is provided in Appendix A

4.1.5 Vessel Mooring

Dolphins	
----------	--

	Mooring Bollards	200 Ton
	Range of Horizontal Pull	0 to 180 degrees
	Range of Vertical Pull	-20 to 60 degrees
	Float	
	Mooring Bollards	150 Ton
	Range of Horizontal Pull	0 to 180 degrees
	Range of Vertical Pull	-20 to 60 degrees
4.1.6	Vessel Berthing	
•	Approach Velocity	0.2 knot (0.32 ft/s)
•	Accidental Berthing Factor	1.5
4.1.7	Tidal Ranges	
٠	Max Operating Tide	24.14 ft MLLW
٠	Min Operating Tide	-6.10 ft MLLW
4.1.8	MEP Equipment/Lights/Ducts/Pipes	5 psf.

4.2 Roll-On Roll-Off (RORO) Dock

This dock is expected to support RORO operations including trucks and forklifts capable of moving fully loaded shipping containers.

4.2.1	Live Loads	
٠	General Live Load	1000 psf
4.2.2	Ramp Loads/Design Vehicles	
•	Front Axle	259 kip
•	Rear Axle	32 kip
٠	Point Load Transverse Spacing	13'-11"



• Point Load Longitudinal Spacing





• AASHTO HL-93 Truck Loading





The HL-93 design truck is intended to represent a generic vehicle that could encompass Special Haul Vehicles (SHV) such as a dump truck up to 80,000 GVW.



- Tracked Crawler Crane
 - o Tracked Load

460 kips



Ore Peninsula Redevelopment Project 30% Basis of Deign Page | 5



(Example tracked crawler crane)

CAT 395 Hydraulic Excavator

0

0	Track Gauge	11.60 ft
0	Crane Width	12.90 ft
0	Operating Weight	207.30 kips
0	Bucket	6.80 yd ³



Wheeled Front End Loader

300.00 kips



(Example Wheeled Front End Loader)

4.2.3 Barges - The following vessel information is representative of the typical vessels that may utilize the new AML dock.

AML Dock Vessel Data								
Vascal	Convice	Draft	Length	Length	Beam	Height	DWT	
vessei	Service	(ft)	(ft)	w/Tug (ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(Long Tons)	
AML Cargo Barge	AML	17.0	360	-	100	-	-	
One Cure	Petro Mar.	16.5	111.3	-	36.0	-	-	
Zidell Marine-277	Petro Mar.	20.5	421.5	495.7	76.5	-	13735.73	
Dale R. Lindsey	Petro Mar.	16.0	90.9	-	37.9	-	-	
Petro Mariner	Petro Mar.	-	222	282.6	64.5	-	5226.39	

¹A list of additional design vessels is provided in Appendix B

4.2.4	Vessel Mooring	
•	Mooring Bollards	50 Ton
•	Range of Horizontal Pull	0 to 180 degrees
•	Range of Vertical Pull	-10 to 10 degrees
4.2.5	Vessel Berthing	
•	Approach Velocity	1 knot (1.69 ft/s)
•	Accidental Berthing Factor	1.5
4.2.6	Tidal Ranges	
	The RORO ramp designed for the maximum	
•	Max Operating Tide	19.00 ft MLLW
		19'-1" Maximum Vessel Freeboard
•	Min Operating Tide	-2.00 ft MLLW
		3'-7" Minimum Vessel Freeboard
4.2.7	MEP Equipment/Lights/Ducts/Pipes	5 psf.

4.3 Marine Service Platform

- 4.3.1 All loads as defined for the RORO Dock
- 4.3.2 Liebherr LHM 280 Mobile harbor crane

5.0 SERVICEABILITY AND CATHODIC PROTECTION

- Structures will be designed for a standard 50-year service life with typical maintenance
- All exposed steel will be galvanized.
- Bollards will be galvanized, and epoxy coated
- Safety Ladders will be fiberglass reinforced polymer
- Cathodic Protection for exposed steel elements will be evaluated
- Epoxy coatings are assumed to have a 15-year service life. Following the assumed service life of epoxy coatings, members are assumed to experience 0.003 in/year of corrosion loss in the splash zone.
- RORO ramp and cruise dock access ramp epoxy coatings shall be maintained for the life of respective structures.



6.0 MATERIALS SPECIFICATIONS AND STRENGTHS

• Unit Weights

Actual and available construction material weights shall be used for design. The following are typical unit weights:

	0	Steel or cast steel	490 pcf
	0	Concrete, reinforced (normal weight)	150 pcf
	0	Concrete, reinforced (lightweight)	120 pcf
	0	Compacted sand, earth, gravel, or ballast	150 pcf
	0	Asphalt paving	150 pcf
,	Со	ncrete	
	0	Cast-in-place	f' _c = 5,000 psi
,	Re	inforcing Steel	
	0	Unless Noted Otherwise	ASTM A 615, Grade 60
	0	Welded Rebar, Threaded Rebar	ASTM A 706, Grade 60
	0	Smooth Welded Wire Fabric	ASTM A 185
	0	Deformed Welded Wire Fabric	ASTM A 497
	0	Deformed Bar Anchors	ASTM A 496
,	Str	uctural Steel	
	0	Wide Flange Shapes	ASTM A 992
	0	Pipes	ASTM A 53, Grade B
	0	Tubes – Round or Rect. HSS	ASTM A 500, Grade C
	0	Angles and Channels	ASTM A 36
	0	Plates	ASTM A 36 or A 572, Grade 50
	0	Base Plates	ASTM A 36
	0	Connection Material and Embedded Plates	ASTM A 36
	0	Bolts	ASTM F 3125 Grade A 325
	0	Threaded Rods	ASTM A 36, UNO
	0	Anchor Rods in Concrete or Masonry	ASTM F1554, Grade 55, UNO
	0	Welding Electrodes	ASTM E 70XX, UNO
	0	Headed Shear Studs	ASTM A 108

7.0 CIVIL ENGINEERING

7.1 **Demolition**

Existing Structures to be demolished include the following:

- Demolition of existing timber, steel, and concrete docks, platforms, walkways, catwalks, and mooring dolphins as shown in plans.
- Demolition of existing fender panels and existing fender piles
- Partial demolition of the concrete dock currently serving cruise vessels.
- Demolition of existing Ore Loader and conveyor
- Demolition of existing Ore dock and walkways
- Demolition of the existing concrete AML dock on the northeast corner of the site.
- Demolition of existing overhead power lines.



Demolition of this infrastructure will generally occur as follows. Above-water infrastructure, including concrete pads, timber decking pile caps, utilities, and piping will be removed. Then timber piles will be extracted entirely or broken off at the mudline if extraction is not practical.

The project will be constructed in a manner to limit disruptions to existing fuel, cruise, and AML operations throughout construction. The Ore Dock is important to the Municipality of Skagway and connecting communities in Canada, and the ability to transport goods across this dock must be maintained throughout each phase of construction.

7.2 Earthwork

7.2.1 Site Grading

- Minimum site grades
 - ½ percent slope for concrete surfaces
 - 1-1/2 percent slope for asphalt surfaces

7.2.2 Existing Shoreline Riprap

It is assumed that the existing riprap on the shoreline bank will remain in place. Except, portions of the riprap in the Northeast Berth extension area will be removed to accommodate dredging and new slope design.

7.3 Utilities

7.3.1 Storm Drainage

The existing asphalt road and storm drain system will not be altered by this project. All proposed upland work will be designed and coordinated by RESPEC.

Stormwater on the Marine Service Platform will be collected in a series of trench drains then treated to local standards before outfalling the Skagway Harbor. Details on this design will be developed in the next design phase.

7.3.2 Potable Water

- Materials for water piping and fittings shall comply with the applicable standard referenced in the 2015 Uniform Plumbing Code and the Municipality of Skagway.
- Vessel supply connections will be provided at all proposed facilities.

7.3.3 Fire Suppression System

The following will be adhered to for fire protection for the floats and docks, except where more stringent requirements are required by the NFPA 303 or NFPA 30A.

- Fire protection system including pipes and appurtenances will adhere to the 2018 International Fire Code Chapter 36 for Marinas, and by 16.03 of the City of Everett Municipal Code.
- Fire protection system maintenance shall conform to NFPA 10, 25 and 72.
- The fuel header shall be equipped with portable fire extinguishers with a minimum rating of 40-B.
- The non-fueling section of the fuel float shall be equipped with portable fire extinguishers with a minimum rating of 4A (class A extra-hazard) and will be placed in pairs at a maximum of 150-foot intervals on the float, with no portion of the float being more than 75 feet from an extinguisher (NFPA 303, NFPA 10).
- Applicable signage as required by the IFC 36 is assumed to be on site, this will be confirmed prior to final design.
- All above water level piping associated with the fire protection system will be galvanized or stainless steel, below water level piping will be steel or HDPE pipe of a pressure class to withstand the requirements of the applicable NFPA and IFC code requirements.
- Water system will be sized utilizing WaterCAD to confirm flows to most extreme portions of float per IFC 36.
- Marinas shall be equipped throughout with standpipe systems in accordance with NFPA 303. Systems shall be provided with hose connections located such that no point on the float system exceeds 150 feet from a standpipe hose connection (ASCE MREP No. 50).
- A fire phone is required to be on site per NFPA 30A section 9.5.5.
- For Class III systems (piers that extend more than 500 feet NFPA 30A), the minimum flow rate for the hydraulically most remote standpipe shall be 500 gpm with a minimum residual pressure of 100 psi for 2.5-inch hose connections (for use by fire department) and 65 psi for 1.5-inch hose stations. The minimum flow rate for additional standpipes shall be 250 gpm per standpipe with the total not to exceed 1250 gpm (NFPA 14).
- A fire department connection (FDC) for each standpipe system shall be located not more than 100 feet from the nearest fire hydrant connected to an approved water supply (NFPA 14).
- The water supply shall be sufficient to provide the system demand for at least 30 minutes.

7.3.4 Sanitary Sewer

- Existing Sanitary Sewer service will not be altered during this project phase.
- Dredging for the Northeast Berth Extension may conflict with an existing sewer outfall. Upland civil consultant is to field verify and reroute outfall as necessary in accordance with local standards.

7.3.5 Fueling Systems

- KPFF will demolish all upland fuel lines to a point just north of the proposed Roro ramp. A new fuel header and fuel line route is proposed.
- The fuel lines including all fittings and valves will be steel conforming to the following codes:
 - 2015 Uniform Plumbing Code
 - 2018 International Fuel Gas Code
 - 2021 International Mechanical Code
 - 2021 International Fire Code
- The fueling system will also adhere to NFPA 303 and NFPA 30A as applicable.
- All fittings and pipe connections are to be butt welded with the exception of flanged connections to the backflow and gate valves on the fuel header.
- Cathodic protection and isolation joints will be provided on each side of the buried line under the Roro ramp structure.

7.4 Fencing, Signage and Pavement Markings

Plastic traffic barriers will be used to separate the pedestrian route from the fuel transfer and AML wharf operations. In addition to the plastic traffic barriers, a 6-foot high fence is proposed to provide security to the fuel pier and AML wharf.

7.5 Dredging

Dredging will be conducted using mechanical methods with a clamshell bucket to remove sediments contaminated by historical activities and which are located adjacent to the Ore Dock. Data from a recent sediment quality investigation (conducted in January 2015) along with sampling to be conducted this year will be used to define the lateral and vertical extents of contaminants exceeding the remedial action objectives (RAOs) threshold concentrations, and to develop dredge prisms for the Northeast Berth Extension.

Mechanical dredging methods with a clamshell bucket is likely to be selected as the dredging method due to the following considerations:

- A large amount of water is typically generated through hydraulic dredging.
- Mechanical methods remove material in near in-situ condition, reducing the need to dewater sediment or treat generated water.
- Mechanical methods are better able to remove the expected dense and coarse material.
- Mechanical methods are better suited for removing debris.
- A standard clamshell bucket will have the ability to remove sands and gravels that are too dense to be removed by an enclosed environmental bucket.



7.5.1 Dredge Prism Design Elevations

The required dredge prism design elevations and adjacent slope dredge cuts were established at -37 MLLW with a 2-ft maximum allowable overdredge in the North Berth Extension area. Further separation of dredge material management units (DMMUs) will be established further along in project permitting.

7.5.2 Slope Dredging

The intent of the slope dredging is to remove surficial soft sediment that is assumed to be contaminated and to cut down to required dredge elevations for placement of riprap.

The dredge cut will extend from the required dredge elevations of the adjacent dredge units up the slope to above MHHW. As-built drawings of the existing riprap show the lower extent of the riprap to end in a keyway that daylights with a 6-foot-wide horizontal bench feature at -12 feet MLLW (Tippetts et al. 1968). The dredge cut proposed at the Northeast Berth Extension will completely remove existing riprap and cut at steeper slopes (2:1) then the original as-built riprap protected slopes.

The contractor will be required to remove any sediment that sloughs from the slope area into the lateral extents of the dredge prism above the required dredge elevation.

7.5.3 **Dewatering**

Based on the current understanding of sediment characteristics, it is assumed that passive dewatering of the dredged sediment will occur on the barges; however, additional dewatering actions may be required for either handling purposes or to facilitate future beneficial re-use of the material. These actions could occur on the barge, at the unloading facility, or in the upland stockpile area, at the contractor's discretion.

Dredged material from the clamshell bucket will be placed directly onto a sealed barge. A sealed barge is proposed to allow for collection of effluent water on the barge itself (i.e., direct discharges to Skagway Harbor from the barge will not be allowed), and minimize the potential for dredged material and effluent water to enter Skagway Harbor.

Effluent from dewatering at both the barge and upland stockpile areas will be collected and pumped to either an upland- or barge-based water treatment system for collection and, as needed, treated to meet water quality certification discharge requirements. Permit requirements will dictate whether effluent water will require treatment prior to discharge back to the harbor, but it is currently assumed that treatment will be required prior to discharge to on-site receiving waters.



7.5.4 Dredging Best Management Practices

Best management practices (BMPs) may be required as permit conditions, or will be developed as part of the remedial dredging specifications to minimize, to the extent practical, potential water quality impacts and the magnitude of residual contamination, and to provide quality control of the work. Examples of dredging BMPs that may be incorporated into the project for dredging, transport, and disposal and placement operations include the following:

- Defining qualified contractor requirements for the procurement process, as allowable per MOS procurement regulations
- Contingency use of specialized equipment, such as a silt curtain
- Use of watertight barges
- Real-time environmental monitoring of contractor activities
- Use of real-time kinematic positioning system for dredging accuracy
- Contingency modifications to operational controls, such as:
 - Increasing the dredging bucket cycle time
 - o Not allowing multiple bites
 - Not allowing underwater stockpiling
 - o Controlling cut thickness along the toe of slope
 - o Not allowing bottom leveling
 - Not allowing bucket overloading
 - Not allowing barge overloading

7.5.5 Material Offloading and Stockpiling

Disposal, offloading and stockpiling of dredge material will be established further along in project permitting.

7.5.6 Material Treatment

To be provided after North Berth Extension material sampling.

7.6 Slope Riprap Placement

Riprap will be placed on the post-dredged slope. The riprap will be a minimum of 3.5 feet thick and have an over placement allowance of 12 inches, while the underlying the filter material will have a minimum required thickness of 12 inches, with a 12-inch over placement allowance.

A keyway will be constructed at the toe of the riprap slope with the armor rock material on the post-dredge surface to provide a toe buttress for the riprap and filter material. The keyway will be constructed in advance of placing filter or riprap material on the slope. The keyway is currently designed to be placed on the post-dredge surface, but this assumption will be discussed with MOS to determine if final elevations meet either short- or long-term operational draft requirements for the site.



7.7 **On-site and Off-site Re-use of Dredged Sediment**

7.7.1 On-site Beneficial Reuse of Dredge Material

To be addressed in next phase of project permitting.

7.7.2 Potential Off-site Beneficial Reuse of Dredge Material

To be addressed in next phase of project permitting.



Appendix A – Design Cruise Vessel List

Ship	LOA [ft]	Operator
Quantum of the Seas	1142.00	Royal Caribbean
Ovation of Seas	1138.00	Royal Caribbean
Norwegian Bliss/Joy	1094.00	Norwegian Cruise Line
Norwegian Encore	1094.00	Norwegian Cruise Line
Regal/Royal Princess	1083.00	Princess Cruises
Discovery Princess	1083.00	Princess Cruises
Majestic Princess	1082.00	Princess Cruises
Eclipse Solstice	1040.00	Celebrity Cruises
Enchantment of the Sea (2023)	988.71	Royal Caribbean
Koningsdam	983.10	Holland America Line
Infinity Millennium Summit	965.00	Celebrity Cruises
Serenade/Radiance	965.00	Royal Caribbean
Norwegian Jewel	965.00	Norwegian Cruise Line
Serenade of the Sea	965.00	Royal Caribbean
Queen Elizabeth	964.50	Cunard Line
Coral/Island Princess	964.00	Princess Cruises
Disney Wonder	964.00	Disney Cruise Line
Carnival Miracle	963.00	Carnival Cruise Line
Radiance of the Sea	962.00	Royal Caribbean
Carnival Freedom	952.00	Carnival Cruise Line
Sapphire Princess	952.00	Princess Cruises
Crown/Ruby Princess	950.00	Princess Cruises
Grand Princess	949.00	Princess Cruises
Noordam/Oosterdam/Westerdam/Zuiderdam	936.00	Holland America Line
Eurodam	936.00	Holland America Line
Nieuw Amsterdam	936.00	Holland America Line
Norwegian Spirit	880.20	Norwegian Cruise Line
Norwegian Sun	848.00	Norwegian Cruise Line
Crystal Serenity	790.00	Crystal Cruises
Volendam (2023)	781.00	Holland America Line
Viking Orion	745.00	Viking Ocean Cruises
Seven Seas Explorer (2023)	735.00	Regent Seven Seas Cruises
Seven Seas Mariner	709.00	Regent Seven Seas Cruises
Silver Muse	698.00	Silversea
Silver Shadow	610.00	Silversea
Silver Whisper (2023)	610.00	Silversea
Regatta	593.70	Oceania Cruises

¹Based on the 2022 and 2023 Cruise Ship Calendars provided by Cruise Line Agencies of Alaska on 2021-12-09

Appendix B – Design Barge List

Vessel News	Operator	DWT	Overall Dimensions			
vesser name	Operator		L [ft]	W [ft]	D [ft]	
Anchorage Provider	AML	15,300	420.00	100.00	24.00	
Fairbanks Provider	AML	15,300	420.00	100.00	24.00	
Arctic Provider	AML	15,202	420.00	100.00	24.00	
Whittier Provider	AML	15,300	420.00	100.00	24.00	
Aleutian Trader	AML	13,426	380.00	96.00	23.00	
Hawaii Trader	AML	13,426	380.00	96.00	23.00	
Pacific Trader	AML	13,426	380.00	96.00	23.00	
Polar Trader	AML	13,349	380.00	96.00	23.00	
Westward Trader	AML	13,426	380.00	96.00	23.00	
Southeast Provider	AML	13,200	360.00	100.00	22.00	
Stikine Provider	AML	13,200	360.00	100.00	22.00	
Sitka Provider	AML	13,200	360.00	100.00	22.00	
Skagway Provider	AML	12,408	360.00	100.00	22.00	
Alaska Trader	AML	10,948	344.00	94.00	21.00	
Anchorage Trader	AML	10,597	344.00	94.00	21.00	
Bering Trader	AML	10,881	344.00	94.00	21.00	
344	AML	10,500	330.00	86.00	21.00	
Tongass Provider	AML	8,240	322.00	90.00	18.00	
Taku Provider	AML	8,240	322.00	90.00	18.00	
Chatham Provider	AML	6,417	286.00	76.00	17.00	
Chichagof Provider	AML	6,417	286.00	76.00	17.00	
Kenai Trader	AML	7,071	285.00	78.00	18.00	
Naknek Trader	AML	7,071	285.00	78.00	18.00	
Togiak Trader	AML	3,830	240.00	60.00	15.00	
Yukon Trader	AML	4,271	240.00	60.00	15.00	
Koyukuk	AML	811	150.00	50.00	7.00	
Kamakani	AML	16,867	438.00	105.00	25.00	
Namakani	AML	17,121	438.00	105.00	25.00	
Alaska Provider	BMC	4,304	250.00	70.00	15.00	
Baranof Provider	BMC	2,793	202.50	60.00	12.00	
Cordova Provider	BMC	2,793	202.50	60.00	12.00	
Stickeen	BMC	1,500	150.00	50.00	10.00	
Tony Saganna	BMC		50.00	24.00	4.00	
Western Carrier	WTB	9,100	300.00	84.00	19.00	
Western Service	WTB	6,400	270.00	70.00	18.00	
Western Provider	WTB	5,586	250.00	70.00	15.00	

Appendix C – OPTIMOOR Analysis



Arrangement for QUANTUM at Skagway Ore Dock

Vessel Data for QUANTUM (file C:\Users\pyoung\KPFF, Inc\KPFF SPRC 2021 Projects - Documents\10092100135 Skagway Ore Peninsula Multi-Use Dock\2.15 Engineering\Working\PEY\Optimoor\QoS-Stbd-Updated-22-06-09\QUANTUM-corrected-flat length fixed.vsl) Units in ft, inches, & kips Longitudinal datum at Midship LBP:1050.2 Breadth: 135.8 68.9 70.0 fwd from midship -67.9 from CL and 70 0 fwd from midship 67.9 from CL and Depth: 3.3 above deck Port Target: Stbd Target: 70.0 fwd from midship End-on projected windage area: 19377 above deck level Side projected windage area:118500 above deck level 3.3 above deck Fendering possible from: 0.364 LBP aft of midship to: 0.272 LBP fwd of midship Current drag data based on: Cruise Ship (shallow water) wind drag data based on: Cruise Ship Wave motion data based on: User specified circular motion Radius of maximum wave-induced motion: 0.30 significant wave height Radius of maximum swell-induced motion: 0.50 significant swell height Longitudinal datum at Midship 275.4 286.0 64.7 46.7 Flatside Contour X-dist -382.2 64.7 Depth

ship Area

Line Fair-	Fair-	Ht on	Dist to	Brake	Pre-	Line		Tail Segment-1
No. Lead X	Lead Y	Deck	Winch	Limit	Tension	Size-Typ	e-BL	Lgth-Size-Type-BL
1 -558.2	-2.0	-19.0	21.2	258	18	5.4 dm	355	
2 - 558.2	2.0	-19.0	21.2	200	18	5.4 0m 5.4 dm	328	
5 -556.6 4 -556.6	-25.0	-19.0	20.5	250	18	5.4 UIII 5.4 dm	328	
5 -555 5	-29.0	-19.0	20.3	258	18	5 4 dm	328	
6 - 555.5	29.0	-19.0	19.2	258	18	5.4 dm	328	
7 -527.7	-63.6	-19.0	104.5	258	18	5.4 dm	328	
8 -527.7	63.6	-19.0	25.5	258	18	5.4 dm	328	
9 -522.0	-66.0	-19.0	23.8	258	18	5.4 dm	328	
10 -522.0	66.0	-19.0	25.5	258	18	5.4 dm	328	
11 -512.8	-67.0	-19.0	22.8	258	18	5.4 dm	328	
12 - 512.8 13 - 508 5	67.0	-19.0	110.3 110.7	250	18	5.4 dm 5.4 dm	328	
13 - 508.5 14 - 508.5	67 0	-19.0	110.7	258	18	5.4 dm	328	
15 537.0	-6.6	3.0	17.4	258	18	5.4 dm	328	
16 537.0	6.6	3.0	17.4	258	18	5.4 dm	328	
17 502.7	-26.1	3.0	21.6	258	18	5.4 dm	328	
18 502.7	26.1	3.0	21.6	258	18	5.4 dm	328	
19 502.7	-30.1	9.5	25.1	258	18	5.4 dm	328	
20 502.7	30.1	9.5	25.1	258	18	5.4 dm	328	
21 498.5	-32.4	9.5	27.4	200	10	5.4 0m 5.4 dm	328	
22 490.3	-34 3	3.0	27.4	258	18	5.4 dm	320	
24 487 2	34.3	3.0	26.2	258	18	5 4 dm	328	
25 483.4	-34.8	3.0	29.5	258	18	5.4 dm	328	
26 483.4	34.9	3.0	29.5	258	18	5.4 dm	328	
27 437.3	-51.7	3.0	63.7	258	18	5.4 dm	328	
28 437.3	51.7	3.0	63.7	258	18	5.4 dm	328	
29 426.4	-54.3	3.0	72.3	258	18	5.4 dm	328	
30 426.4	54.3	3.0	/2.3	258	18	5.4 dm	328	
51 498.5 32 408 5	27.4	3.0	23.9	258	18 18	5.4 0m 5.4 dm	328	
32 - 558 1	-6.0	-19 0	10 0	230	0_0	5.4 dm	328	
34 -558.1	6.0	-19.0	10.0		ŏ.ŏ	5.4 dm	328	

Codes for Types of Line: dm: dynamax HMPE 12-strand (broken-in)

Berth Data for Skagway Ore Dock

(file C:\Users\pyoung\KPFF, Inc\KPFF SPRC 2021 Projects - Documents\10092100135 Skagway Ore Peninsula Multi-Use Dock\2.15 Engineering\Working\PEY\Optimoor\QoS-Stbd-Updated-22-06-09\berth-4-2-19.bth) Units in ft & kips

Left to Right of Screen Site Plan Points: width of Channel (for Current): Pier Height (Fixed) above Datum: Seabed Depth in way of Ship below Datum:		45° 3281 14.0 65.6
Permissible Surge Excursion FWd/ATT:	±	3.00
Permissible Sway Excursion Port/Stbd:	±	3.00
Permissible Vertical Movement:	±	3.00
Dist of Berth Target to Right of Origin:		0.0
Wind Speed Specified at Height:		32.8
Current Specified at Depth:		0.0

Hook/	X-Dist	Dist to	Ht above	Allowable
Bollard	to Origin	Fender Line	Pier	Load
Α	-748.8	70.0	12.0	400
В	-669.0	22.0	12.0	400
С	-574.9	22.0	12.0	200
D	-483.2	22.0	12.0	200
E	-403.5	22.0	12.0	200
F	-329.7	25.0	12.0	200
G	-303.6	17.0	2.0	200
Н	-170.8	17.0	2.0	200
I	51.3	17.0	2.0	200
J	184.5	17.0	2.0	200
L	235.3	20.0	15.0	400
М	370.0	20.0	15.0	400
0	495.0	20.0	15.0	400

Fende	r X- to	Dist Origin	Ht	above atum	Wi Alona	dth Side	Face Are	Contac a (ft²	t)		-
aa bb cd eff ghh ij kkl mn oo pp	to -75 -66 -57 -48 -40 -32 -26 -21 -3 2 9 14 23 433 53 -14	0r1g1n 0.5 9.4 5.4 3.5 9.7 7.7 7.2 7.7 1.7 0.9 4.8 6.0 4.0 4.6 3.6 3.7	ı D	atum 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0	A I ong 4 4 4 4 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	Side .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0	Are 48 48 48 48 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98	a (+t ² .4 .4 .4 .4 .4 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0	J		
qq Fende	-9 r Load	0.9 -Compr	ession	3.0 Data	7	.0	98	.0			
aa	6 0.10	12 0.20	30 0.50	36 0.60	48 0.80	63 1.00	78 1.20	$\begin{array}{c} 101 \\ 1.50 \end{array}$	144 2.00	199 2.60	kips ft
bb	6	12	30	36	48	63	78	101	144	199	kips
	0.10	0.20	0.50	0.60	0.80	1.00	1.20	1.50	2.00	2.60	ft
сс	6	12	30	36	48	63	78	101	144	199	kips
	0.10	0.20	0.50	0.60	0.80	1.00	1.20	1.50	2.00	2.60	ft
dd	6	12	30	36	48	63	78	101	144	199	kips
	0.10	0.20	0.50	0.60	0.80	1.00	1.20	1.50	2.00	2.60	ft
ee	6	12	30	36	48	63	78	101	144	199	kips
	0.10	0.20	0.50	0.60	0.80	1.00	1.20	1.50	2.00	2.60	ft
ff	6	12	30	36	48	63	78	101	144	199	kips
	0.10	0.20	0.50	0.60	0.80	1.00	1.20	1.50	2.00	2.60	ft
gg	18	38	58	78	100	126	152	186	221	257	kips
	0.38	0.80	1.19	1.56	1.93	2.35	2.75	3.24	3.73	4.19	ft
hh	18	38	58	78	100	126	152	186	221	257	kips
	0.38	0.80	1.19	1.56	1.93	2.35	2.75	3.24	3.73	4.19	ft
ii	18	38	58	78	100	126	152	186	221	257	kips
	0.38	0.80	1.19	1.56	1.93	2.35	2.75	3.24	3.73	4.19	ft
jj	18	38	58	78	100	126	152	186	221	257	kips
	0.38	0.80	1.19	1.56	1.93	2.35	2.75	3.24	3.73	4.19	ft
kk	18	38	58	78	100	126	152	186	221	257	kips
	0.38	0.80	1.19	1.56	1.93	2.35	2.75	3.24	3.73	4.19	ft
11	18	38	58	78	100	126	152	186	221	257	kips
	0.38	0.80	1.19	1.56	1.93	2.35	2.75	3.24	3.73	4.19	ft
mm	18	38	58	78	100	126	152	186	221	257	kips
	0.38	0.80	1.19	1.56	1.93	2.35	2.75	3.24	3.73	4.19	ft
nn	18	38	58	78	100	126	152	186	221	257	kips
	0.38	0.80	1.19	1.56	1.93	2.35	2.75	3.24	3.73	4.19	ft
00	18	38	58	78	100	126	152	186	221	257	kips
	0.38	0.80	1.19	1.56	1.93	2.35	2.75	3.24	3.73	4.19	ft
рр	18	38	58	78	100	126	152	186	221	257	kips
	0.38	0.80	1.19	1.56	1.93	2.35	2.75	3.24	3.73	4.19	ft

qq	18	38	58	78	100	126	152	186	221	257 kips
	0.38	0.80	1.19	1.56	1.93	2.35	2.75	3.24	3.73	4.19 ft

Static Mooring Response for QUANTUM at Skagway Ore Dock

Static Analysis for Time: 1537 Jan 09 2019 (initialised at 1537 Jan 09 2019) Water Level: 16.00 above Datum (initialised at this water level) Draft: 26.20 (initialised at this draft)) Trim: 0.00 (initialised at this trim) Bottom Clearance: 55.4 Fwd Offset of Vessel Target: 213.0 from Berth Target Vessel Stbd Target: 48.0 above Pier Wind Speed: 40 knots Wind Direction from: All°

Total End-on Windage Area: 25176 Total Side Windage Area:163344

Longitudinal Transverse Yaw Moment/LBP

0.00 (up) 0.00 (up)

Movement of Vessel	0.51 (fwd)	-0.94 (out)	0.1° (port)
at its Stbd Target	-0.10 (aft)	2.04 (inw)	-0.2° (stbd)

Line to Bollard 1-0 3-0 4-M 5-0 6-M 8-L 10-L 12-L 14-L 15-A 16-A 18-A 20-B 22-B 24-C 26-D 28-E 30-E 31-B 33-0 34-M	Pull -in 0.48 0.55 0.50 0.56 0.48 0.46 0.45 0.62 0.61 0.69 0.66 0.64 0.48 0.48 0.41 0.41 0.45 0.44 0.45 0.44 0.45 0.23 0.33	Tot.Line Length 141.4 159.3 96.0 163.2 92.1 176.8 170.8 246.3 242.0 180.7 169.2 177.1 101.4 103.3 112.0 186.6 247.6 245.2 100.4 133.5 103.8	e In-Line ±Motion	Winch Slip 1.4	Worst Dir to Screen -50° -60° -60° 165° 165° 165° 165° -130° -130° -130° -130° -120° -120° -120° -120° -120° -120° -120° -50° -60°	rection True 355° 345° 345° 345° 210° 210° 210° 210° 275° 275° 275° 285° 285° 285° 285° 285° 285° 285° 355° 345°	Line Tension 126.9 111.4 127.1 109.5 129.2 26.5 26.7 25.2 25.3 82.9 84.6 63.4 121.8 113.2 132.2 66.0 45.3 45.5 125.4 1.0 109.6	Percent Strength 36% 39% 33% 39% 8% 8% 8% 25% 26% 19% 37% 35% 40% 20% 14% 14% 38% 0% 33%
Fe	nder Th ee ff gg hh ii jj kk 11 mm pp qq	1rust Cor 193 175 115 107 100 106 116 123 135 96 92	mpression 2.54 2.34 2.05 1.92 2.03 2.19 2.30 2.50 1.87 1.80	Pressure 8.8 8.0 2.6 2.4 2.2 2.4 2.6 2.8 3.0 2.2 2.1	Flatside 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100	Cover		

Hook/	Х-	Y-	Other Other	Total	%Bollard	Direction	Bollard
Bollard	Force	Force	X-Load Y-Load	Force	Strength	in Plan	Uplift
А	113.7	192.1		229.2	57%	31°	52.1
В	119.0	284.2		360	90%	23°	185.9
С	-84.6	85.9		132.2	66%	-45°	54.1
D	-59.9	23.4		66.0	33%	-69°	14.9
E	-86.8	19.2		90.7	45%	-78°	18.1
L	102.1	15.8		103.6	26%	81°	7.8
М	195.4	305		365	91%	33°	48.5
0	-211.9	275.2		348	87%	-38°	27.8

Approximate natural periods Surge: 35 Sway: 35 Roll: 29 secs

OPTIMOOR Licence 2742 Version 60709 Tension Technology International © 28 August 2020



Arrangement for QUANTUM at Skagway Ore Dock

Vessel Data for QUANTUM (file C:\Users\pyoung\KPFF, Inc\KPFF SPRC 2021 Projects - Documents\10092100135 Skagway Ore Peninsula Multi-Use Dock\2.15 Engineering\working\PEY\Optimoor\QoS-Port-Updated-22-06-09\QUANTUM-corrected-flat length fixed.vsl) Units in ft, inches, & kips Longitudinal datum at Midship LBP:1050.2 Breadth: 135.8 Depth: 68.9 Port Target: 70.0 fwd from midship -67.9 from CL and Stbd Target: 250.8 fwd from midship 67.9 from CL and End-on projected windage area: 19377 above deck level Side projected windage area:118500 above deck level Fendering possible from: 0.364 LBP aft of midship to: 0.272 LBP fwd of midship Current drag data based on: Cruise Ship (shallow water) Wind drag data based on: Cruise Ship Breadth: 135.8 3.3 above deck 3.3 above deck wind drag data based on: Cruise Ship Wave motion data based on: User specified circular motion Radius of maximum wave-induced motion: 0.30 significant wave height Radius of maximum swell-induced motion: 0.50 significant swell height

Flatside Contour Longitudinal datum at Midship X-dist -382.2 275.4 286.0 Depth 64.7 64.7 46.7

Line Fair-	Fair-	Ht on	Dist to	Brake	Pre-	Line		Tail Segment-1
No. Lead X	Lead Y	Deck	Winch	Limit	Tension	Size-Typ	e-BL	Lgth-Size-Type-BL
1 -558.2	-2.0	-19.0	21.2	258	18	5.4 dm	328	
2 - 558.2	2.0	-19.0	21.2	200	18	5.4 0m 5.4 dm	328	
5 -550.0 4 -556 6	-25.0	-19.0	20.5	250	18	5.4 UIII 5.4 dm	328	
5 -555 5	-29.0	-19.0	20.3	258	18	5 4 dm	328	
6 -555.5	29.0	-19.0	19.2	258	18	5.4 dm	328	
7 -527.7	-63.6	-19.0	104.5	258	18	5.4 dm	328	
8 -527.7	63.6	-19.0	25.5	258	18	5.4 dm	328	
9 -522.0	-66.0	-19.0	23.8	258	18	5.4 dm	328	
10 -522.0	66.0	-19.0	25.5	258	18	5.4 dm	328	
11 -512.8	-67.0	-19.0	22.8	258	18	5.4 dm	328	
12 - 512.8 13 - 508 5	67.0	-19.0	110.3 110.7	250	18	5.4 dm 5.4 dm	328	
13 - 508.5 14 - 508.5	67.0	-19.0	110.7	258	18	5.4 dm	328	
15 537.0	-6.6	3.0	17.4	258	18	5.4 dm	328	
16 537.0	6.6	3.0	17.4	258	18	5.4 dm	328	
17 502.7	-26.1	3.0	21.6	258	18	5.4 dm	328	
18 502.7	26.1	3.0	21.6	258	18	5.4 dm	328	
19 502.7	-30.1	9.5	25.1	258	18	5.4 dm	328	
20 502.7	30.1	9.5	25.1	258	18	5.4 dm	328	
21 498.5	-32.4	9.5	27.4	200	10	5.4 0m 5.4 dm	328	
22 490.3	-34 3	3.0	27.4	258	18	5.4 dm	320	
24 487 2	34.3	3 0	26.2	258	18	5 4 dm	328	
25 483.4	-34.8	3.0	29.5	258	18	5.4 dm	328	
26 483.4	34.9	3.0	29.5	258	18	5.4 dm	328	
27 437.3	-51.7	3.0	63.7	258	18	5.4 dm	328	
28 437.3	51.7	3.0	63.7	258	18	5.4 dm	328	
29 426.4	-54.3	3.0	72.3	258	18	5.4 dm	328	
30 426.4	54.3	3.0	/2.3	258	18	5.4 dm	328	
3⊥ 498.3 32 /08 ⊑	_27.4	3.0	23.9	258	18 18	5.4 Um 5.4 dm	328	
32 - 558 1	-6.0	-19 0	10 0	230	0_0	5.4 dm	328	
34 -558.1	6.0	-19.0	10.0		ŏ.ŏ	5.4 dm	328	

Codes for Types of Line: dm: dynamax HMPE 12-strand (broken-in)

Berth Data for Skagway Ore Dock

(file C:\Users\pyoung\KPFF, Inc\KPFF SPRC 2021 Projects - Documents\10092100135 Skagway Ore Peninsula Multi-Use Dock\2.15 Engineering\Working\PEY\Optimoor\QoS-Port-Updated-22-06-09\berth-4-2-19.bth) Units in ft & kips

Left to Right of Screen Site Plan Points: width of Channel (for Current): Pier Height (Fixed) above Datum: Seabed Depth in way of Ship below Datum:		45° 3281 14.0 65.6
Permissible Surge Excursion FWd/ATT:	±	3.00
Permissible Sway Excursion Port/Stbd:	±	3.00
Permissible Vertical Movement:	±	3.00
Dist of Berth Target to Right of Origin:		0.0
Wind Speed Specified at Height:		32.8
Current Specified at Depth:		0.0

Hook/	X-Dist	Dist to	Ht above	Allowable
Bollard	to Origin	Fender Line	Pier	Load
А	-748.8	70.0	12.0	400
В	-669.0	22.0	12.0	400
С	-574.9	22.0	12.0	200
D	-483.2	22.0	12.0	200
Е	-403.5	22.0	12.0	200
F	-329.7	25.0	12.0	200
G	-303.6	17.0	2.0	200
н	-170.8	17.0	2.0	200
I	51.3	17.0	2.0	200
J	184.5	17.0	2.0	200
L	235.3	20.0	15.0	400
М	370.0	20.0	15.0	400
0	495.0	20.0	15.0	400

Fende	r X-	Dist	Ht	above	Wi	dth	Face	Contac	t		
aabcd defghijjkl mnoppq	to -75 -66 -57 -48 -40 -32 -26 -21 -3 2 9 14 23 43 52 -14 -9	Origin 0.5 9.4 5.4 3.5 9.7 7.7 7.2 1.7 0.9 4.0 4.0 1.6 5.6 2.7 0.9		atum 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0	A l ong 4 4 4 4 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	Side .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0	Are 48 48 48 48 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98	a (ft ² .4 .4 .4 .4 .4 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0)		
-ende aa	r Load 6 0.10	-Compr 12 0.20	ession 30 0.50	Data 36 0.60	48 0.80	63 1.00	78 1.20	101 1.50	144 2.00	199 2.60	kips ft
bb	6	12	30	36	48	63	78	101	144	199	kips
	0.10	0.20	0.50	0.60	0.80	1.00	1.20	1.50	2.00	2.60	ft
сс	6	12	30	36	48	63	78	101	144	199	kips
	0.10	0.20	0.50	0.60	0.80	1.00	1.20	1.50	2.00	2.60	ft
dd	6	12	30	36	48	63	78	101	144	199	kips
	0.10	0.20	0.50	0.60	0.80	1.00	1.20	1.50	2.00	2.60	ft
ee	6	12	30	36	48	63	78	101	144	199	kips
	0.10	0.20	0.50	0.60	0.80	1.00	1.20	1.50	2.00	2.60	ft
ff	6 0.10	12 0.20	30 0.50	36 0.60	48 0.80	63 1.00	78 1.20	$\begin{smallmatrix}&101\\1.50\end{smallmatrix}$	144 2.00	199 2.60	kips ft
gg	18	38	58	78	100	126	152	186	221	257	kips
	0.38	0.80	1.19	1.56	1.93	2.35	2.75	3.24	3.73	4.19	ft
hh	18	38	58	78	100	126	152	186	221	257	kips
	0.38	0.80	1.19	1.56	1.93	2.35	2.75	3.24	3.73	4.19	ft
ii	18	38	58	78	100	126	152	186	221	257	kips
	0.38	0.80	1.19	1.56	1.93	2.35	2.75	3.24	3.73	4.19	ft
jj	18	38	58	78	100	126	152	186	221	257	kips
	0.38	0.80	1.19	1.56	1.93	2.35	2.75	3.24	3.73	4.19	ft
kk	18	38	58	78	100	126	152	186	221	257	kips
	0.38	0.80	1.19	1.56	1.93	2.35	2.75	3.24	3.73	4.19	ft
11	18	38	58	78	100	126	152	186	221	257	kips
	0.38	0.80	1.19	1.56	1.93	2.35	2.75	3.24	3.73	4.19	ft
mm	18	38	58	78	100	126	152	186	221	257	kips
	0.38	0.80	1.19	1.56	1.93	2.35	2.75	3.24	3.73	4.19	ft
nn	18	38	58	78	100	126	152	186	221	257	kips
	0.38	0.80	1.19	1.56	1.93	2.35	2.75	3.24	3.73	4.19	ft
00	18	38	58	78	100	126	152	186	221	257	kips
	0.38	0.80	1.19	1.56	1.93	2.35	2.75	3.24	3.73	4.19	ft
рр	18	38	58	78	100	126	152	186	221	257	kips
	0.38	0.80	1.19	1.56	1.93	2.35	2.75	3.24	3.73	4.19	ft

qq	18	38	58	78	100	126	152	186	221	257 kips
	0.38	0.80	1.19	1.56	1.93	2.35	2.75	3.24	3.73	4.19 ft

Static Mooring Response for QUANTUM at Skagway Ore Dock

(file C:\Users\pyoung\KPFF, Inc\KPFF SPRC 2021 Projects - Documents\10092100135 Skagway Ore Peninsula Multi-Use Dock\2.15 Engineering\Working\PEY\Optimoor\QoS-Port-Updated-22-06-09\mooring analysis-4-2-19-updated.opt) Units in ft & kips

Remarks:

Static Analysis for Time: 1537 Jan 09 2019 (initialised at 1537 Jan 09 2019) Water Level: 16.00 above Datum (initialised at this water level) Draft: 26.20 (initialised at this draft)) Trim: 0.00 (initialised at this trim) Bottom Clearance: 55.4 Fwd Offset of Vessel Target: -18.0 from Berth Target Vessel Port Target: 48.0 above Pier Wind Speed: 40 knots Wind Direction from: All°

Total End-on Windage Area: 25176 Total Side Windage Area:163344

	Longitudinal	Transverse Y	'aw Moment/LBP	
Movement of Vessel	0.49 (fwd)	2.36 (inw)	0.2° (port)	0.00 (up)
at its Port Target	-0.33 (aft)	-0.64 (out)	-0.1° (stbd)	0.00 (up)

Line to Bollard 1-A 2-B 3-A 4-B 5-A 6-B 8-C 10-C 12-D 14-E 15-O 16-O 18-O 22-M 24-M 26-M 28-L 30-L 31-O 33-A 34-B	Pull -in 0.68 0.59 0.74 0.53 0.74 0.52 0.35 0.53 0.53 0.64 0.58 0.48 0.48 0.48 0.49 0.47 0.46 0.48 0.32 0.38	Tot.Lin Lengtl 194.4 112.2 213.3 89.0 217.2 85.8 75.2 69.3 230.1 304.4 127.3 116.3 128.0 106.7 95.6 96.9 187.6 185.5 132.8 186.9 97.4	ne In-Line n ±Motion	Winch Slip 0.6	Worst Dir to Screen -130° -130° -130° -130° -130° -130° -120° -120° -120° -120° -120° -50° -50° -50° -60° -60° -60° 160° -50° -50° -130° -130°	ection True 275° 275° 275° 275° 275° 285° 285° 285° 295° 355° 355° 345° 345° 345° 345° 345° 34	Line Tension 50.6 90.0 49.3 105.0 48.9 106.6 91.2 99.3 30.2 25.8 118.1 122.8 83.7 110.5 132.1 132.4 38.8 39.0 78.5 1.0 80.4	Percent Strength 15% 27% 15% 32% 28% 30% 9% 8% 36% 37% 26% 34% 40% 12% 12% 12% 24% 0% 25%
Fen d e f j k i j k l g d	der Th d e f g h i j k l p q	110 151 140 95 92 124 134 149 160 103 113	ompression 1.61 2.07 1.95 1.85 1.79 2.32 2.48 2.71 2.87 1.98 2.14	Pressure 10.0 6.9 6.4 2.1 2.1 2.8 3.0 3.4 3.6 2.3 2.5	Flatside 0% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	Cover		

Hook/	Х-	Y-	Other Other	Total	%Bollard	Direction	Bollard
Bollard	Force	Force	X-Load Y-Load	Force	Strength	in Plan	Uplift
А	82.8	124.4		149.8	37%	34°	9.6
В	119.1	357		381	95%	18°	59.5
С	-152.7	102.8		190.4	95%	-56°	48.5
D	-29.5	5.8		30.2	15%	-79°	3.0
E	-25.6	3.0		25.8	13%	-83°	1.6
F							
G							
Н							
I							
J							
L	70.9	23.2		77.5	19%	73°	22.1
М	159.2	283.7		374	93%	29°	184.8
0	-228.2	294.9		394	98%	-38°	128.5

Approximate natural periods Surge: 35 Sway: 32 Roll: 29 secs

OPTIMOOR Licence 2742 Version 60709 Tension Technology International © 28 August 2020

Appendix D – Preliminary Geotechnical Recommendations for Skagway Ore Peninsula Dock and Transfer Bridge Skagway, Alaska prepared by Hart Crowser



HART CROWSER A DIVISION OF HALEY & ALDRICH 3131 Elliott Avenue Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98121 206.324.9530

MEMORANDUM

8 March 2022 File No. 203404-000

TO

:	KPFF Consulting Engineers	
	Bob Riley, P.E., S.E., and Ed Debroeck, P.E.	

FROM: Hart Crowser, a division of Haley & Aldrich Garry Horvitz, P.E., L.E.G., Brice Exley, P.E., and Jeff Bruce, P.E.

Subject: Preliminary Geotechnical Recommendations for Skagway Ore Peninsula Dock and Transfer Bridge Skagway, Alaska

GARRY E. HOP AFI C552 PROFESSION 2022

This memorandum presents a summary of Hart Crowser's, a division of Haley & Aldrich (Hart Crowser's), geotechnical recommendations associated with the alternatives analysis of the Ore Peninsula Dock and Transfer Bridge, in Skagway, Alaska. The recommendations are based on the project development information presented in the Port of Skagway's Request for Proposal, information provided by KPFF Consulting Engineers (KPFF), as well as our previous exploration, testing, and analytical work completed for the Municipality under contract to KPFF. We completed this work in accordance with generally accepted geotechnical engineering practices for the nature and conditions of the work completed in the same or similar localities, at the time the work was performed. We make no other warranty, express or implied.

Project Understanding

This work is intended to assist KPFF in the geotechnical aspects of the alternatives assessment for the Port of Skagway Ore Peninsula Dock and adjacent waterfront structures. We understand that the proposed construction for the current assessment includes a new Roll-on/Roll-off (Ro-Ro) dock and associated ramp near the north end of the peninsula, as well as a new shared-use T-shaped ore dock (T-dock) near the south end of the peninsula. The T-dock will be designed as either a concrete or steel dock bearing on open ended steel pipe piles. Both alternatives will require dredging to allow for proper berthing of the proposed cruise ships.

The location of the site and historical soil explorations are shown on Figure 1. Historical soil exploration logs are in Attachment 1 and the results of historical geotechnical laboratory testing are in Attachment 2. The elevation datum used throughout this report is the mean lower low water datum (MLLW), unless otherwise noted.

SOIL CONDITIONS

Our understanding of the subsurface conditions in the Municipality of Skagway is based on ten historical mud rotary borings performed by Hart Crowser; on results of both historical laboratory tests of soil samples, and geophysical testing on the peninsula adjacent to the proposed ore dock improvements. Figure 1 shows the location of historical explorations. Details of the conditions found at the boring locations are shown on the logs in Attachment 1 and lab results in Attachment 2. The results of geophysical testing are shared in Attachment 3.

Our understanding of the subsurface soil conditions is based on explorations at discrete locations at the site. Soil properties inferred from the field and laboratory tests formed the basis for developing the geotechnical recommendations contained in this memorandum. Soil conditions may vary in the areas between the explorations, and the nature and extent of the variations may not be evident until construction. If variations appear, it may be necessary to reevaluate the recommendations in this memorandum.

The soil conditions can be generalized as follows:

- the ore terminal peninsula contains fill material for consisting of sandy gravel to gravelly sand; and
- the harbor contains native material consisting of gravelly sand to sandy gravel with discrete layers of silt and sandy silt.

Surficial geologic maps of the area identify the soils in the harbor as beach and alluvial fan deposits, which is consistent with our observations. These materials are typically considered young (Holocene age) deposits, which tend to be susceptible to liquefaction.

GROUNDWATER

Groundwater at the site is influenced by tidal fluctuations in Taiya Inlet. We did not observe the groundwater table in the upland soil borings because of the drilling method that was used, though inferences are usually made during drilling to the level of the groundwater table. At the time of this memorandum, we have assumed the groundwater level within the peninsula to be influenced by the tide cycle of the adjacent Chilkoot Inlet. Regarding a design groundwater elevation our analyses assumed the following:

- Global stability and lateral earth pressure analyses assumed a tidal lag based on mean high water (MHW) within the peninsula and mean sea level (MSL) in the Inlet.
- Liquefaction and lateral spreading analysis assumed a groundwater elevation within the peninsula equal to the average of MSL and MHW.



SEISMIC SETTING

The site is in a seismically active area. Southeastern Alaska has several major faults, including the Queen Charlotte, Fairweather, and Chatham Strait Faults. The eastern ends of the Denali and Transition Faults are within the region as well. Minor faults in the region include the Clarence Strait and the Peril Strait Faults.

The major faults in general are a result of the Pacific Plate boundary interacting with the North American Plate. The Queen Charlotte and Fairweather Faults are right lateral strike-slip faults and are similar in function to the San Andreas Fault. These faults have produced several earthquakes in excess of magnitude 7 since 1900, including the Lituya Bay earthquake. Few earthquakes are directly related to the large Chatham Strait Fault, although a moderately sized earthquake occurred nearby in 1987. The Chatham Strait Fault is the closest major fault to Skagway and runs along the Taiya Inlet. The Denali and Transition Faults are northwest of Skagway. The Transition Fault likely intersects with the Queen Charlotte-Fairweather Fault system, while the Denali Fault likely intersects the Chatham Strait Fault (Alaska Earthquake Center 2015 and 2018).

The U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) deaggregation tool (USGS 2008a) identifies shallow random earthquakes with magnitudes between 5 and 7.3 as the primary sources of peak ground acceleration (PGA), with an average distance from Skagway of approximately 7 miles. The Denali Fault contributes approximately 18 percent of the hazard from a distance of about 20 miles from Skagway, with a mean magnitude of approximately 7.9. As the period of interest increases up to 1.0 second, the Transition and Denali Faults contribute a higher portion of the seismic hazard, at a larger average distance, with each producing mean magnitudes of approximately 7.8 and 8.2, respectively.

There has not been an earthquake comparable to the risk-targeted maximum considered earthquake (MCE_R) design-level earthquake within a 50-mile radius of Skagway in the last 100 years (COSMOS Virtual Data Center). Recent regional earthquakes have been either too small or too far away to cause significant damage or liquefaction in Skagway.

SEISMIC BASIS OF DESIGN

We developed design response spectra at the ground surface using simplified code-based methods. We referred to the 2018 International Building Code (IBC) and to American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) 61-14, Seismic Design of Piers and Wharves, as appropriate.

The basis of design for the 2018 IBC is two-thirds of the hazard associated with the MCE_R. The MCE_R has a 2 percent probability of exceedance in 50 years, which corresponds with a return period of 2,475 years.

DESIGN RESPONSE SPECTRA AND PGA

We determined the site class in accordance with the 2018 IBC, based on standard penetration test (SPT) data collected from our explorations at the project site. The site contains liquefiable soil, which requires a classification of Site Class F. Neglecting liquefaction susceptibility, the site would be categorized as a Site



Class D site. The code requires a site-specific analysis for Site Class F if the period of the structure is greater than 0.5 second.

We obtained the seismic hazard parameters from the ASCE 7-16 Seismic Design Web Service for the site location at latitude 59.450 and longitude -135.326. Code-based design response spectra and seismic design parameters for the MCE_R are provided in Table 1 fort. Table 1 shows spectral accelerations at periods of 0.2 and 1 second (S_S and S₁, respectively) for the MCE_R, with the seismic coefficients for adjustment to the MCE. These spectral accelerations, along with the site classification, may be used to develop a code-based response spectrum.

Table 1					
Parameter	Value				
Latitude	59.450				
Longitude	-135.326				
Site class	D				
Risk category	I, II, III				
Spectral response acceleration at short periods, Ss	0.781 g				
Spectral response acceleration at 1-second periods, S ₁	0.384 g				
Mapped MCE geometric mean peak ground acceleration, PGA	0.314 g				
Seismic coefficient, Fa	1.188				
Seismic coefficient, F_v	1.633				
Seismic coefficient, F _{PGA}	1.286				

LIQUEFACTION POTENTIAL

Liquefaction is caused by a rapid increase in pore water pressure that reduces the effective stress between soil particles, resulting in the sudden loss of shear strength in the soil. Granular soils that rely on interparticle friction for strength are susceptible to liquefaction until the excess pore pressures can dissipate. Sand boils and flows at the ground surface after an earthquake result from excess pore pressure dissipating upward, carrying soil particles with the draining water. In general, loose, saturated sandy soils with low silt and clay contents are the most susceptible to liquefaction. Silty soils with low plasticity are moderately susceptible to liquefaction under relatively higher levels of ground shaking. For any soil type, the soil must be saturated for liquefaction to occur.

We used empirical methods to estimate liquefaction potential using the SPT data obtained at the site. Procedures after Idriss and Boulanger (2008) incorporating the SPT data were used for the liquefaction analysis. For the MCE hazard level, we used an earthquake magnitude of 6.6 and a PGA 0.404 g in our analysis. These values are derived from the 2007 USGS mapped values of Alaska for the MCE event. These accelerations correspond to Site Class D, as appropriate for this site under non-liquefied conditions. According to our analysis, the site is intermittently susceptible to liquefaction in soil units below the



groundwater table in some areas. While the entire project area holds liquefaction potential, in general, it appears the southern portion of the site is the most susceptible.

To further assess the impact of gravels on the liquefaction estimates, we contracted a geophysical testing service to perform Refraction Micrometer (ReMi) and Multichannel Analysis of Surface Waves (MASW) surveys. These surveys provided shear wave data that we used to better estimate both the stiffness and liquefaction susceptibility of the native soils. We used methods for the liquefaction analysis detailed by Andrus and Stokoe (2004) for the MCE event, as described above. Per this updated analysis, the peninsula fill soils are liquefiable beneath the groundwater table. The underlying native sands and gravels displayed marginal liquefaction susceptibility based on SPT blow counts but did not show liquefaction susceptibility based on shear wave data. We consider this unit to generally be not susceptible to post-seismic residual strength loss for post-seismic slope stability.

SEISMICALLY INDUCED SLOPE DEFORMATIONS

If sloping ground or soil near a slope liquefies, large lateral deformations of the soil, called lateral spreading, can occur. Additionally, strong inertial shaking of slope material can result in failure and, thus, movement of the slope soils. The seismic hazard and liquefaction susceptibility for the soils within the peninsula make both seismically induced slope failure and liquefaction induced lateral spreading potential hazards at the site. The slope stability section of this memorandum addresses our analysis on conclusions regarding these topics.

Geotechnical Engineering Conclusions and Recommendations

This section presents our preliminary conclusions and recommendations for the geotechnical aspects of design and construction on the project site. We developed our recommendations based on our current understanding of the project and the subsurface conditions encountered by our explorations. If the nature or location of the development is different than we assumed, we should be notified so we can change or confirm our recommendations.

VERTICAL PILE CAPACITY

Vertical compressive loads can be resisted by friction along the pile sides and by end bearing at the tip. Because we did not encounter a consistent very dense bearing layer, required pile lengths can only be approximated based on field boring logs at discrete locations. Actual pile lengths needed will depend on driving resistance and other factors, and may need to be adjusted in the field after test piles are installed.

Considering the soil conditions at the project site, we determined pile capacities using effective stress analysis methods. We used the software, APILE, to model the vertical resistance of 24-, 30-, 36-, 42- and 48-inch open-ended pipe piles, basing the subsurface profile on the results of boring GO2 and GO1. We used existing pile installation logs to calibrate these recommendations using the Danish pile driving formula. The approximate location of these existing piles is shown on Figure 1. We used a compressive factor of safety of 2.0 for static conditions. To use a factor of safety of 2.0, the capacities must be verified in the field by a load test, as defined by the IBC, which allows Pile Driving Analyzer (PDA)/Case Pile Wave



Analysis Program (CAPWAP) to be the load test method. For open-ended piles, plugging was assumed to occur. If open-ended pipe piles are used and tip resistance is critical, a load test such as Statnamic loading may be recommended as part of final design, if axial capacity becomes the critical factor. This test is recommended to reduce the risk of dislodging the soil plug during testing.

The estimated resistance profiles are in Figures 2 and 3. These resistance charts apply to offshore RoRo Dock and Float Guide piles only.

POST-LIQUEFACTION DOWNDRAG

During and after liquefaction, as pore water pressures dissipate, liquefied soil and overlying non-liquefied soil will settle relative to piles tipped into the bearing layer. The pile capacity recommendations, above, do not include the effects of downdrag loads. Our liquefaction analysis indicated the presence of a non-liquefiable crust from the ground surface underlain by a liquefiable layer from elevation 9 feet to elevation –9 feet. The geometry of these layers vary as the slope descends away from the shoreline. As a result, the downdrag loads will vary based on the pile location, relative to the shoreline. Table 2, below, presents our recommendations for downdrag load presented as a function of distance from the crest of the slope adjacent to the shoreline and pile diameter. We present these values as preliminary recommendations based on historical analysis and are subject to change based on the final design direction.

Table 2 Downdrag Load Equations					
Approximate Distance from Crest of Slope in Feet	Downdrag Load in ksf				
0 to 60	0.53*π*(60-x)*D+10.8* π*D				
60 to 125	0.27*(125-x)* π*D				

Where:

D = Pile diameter in feet,

x = Distance from crest of slope towards the water in feet.

LATERAL PILE RESISTANCE AND LOADING

Lateral resistance and deflections of vertical pile foundations are often governed by the lateral capacity of near-surface soils and the strength of the pile itself. The design lateral capacity of the vertical piles will depend, to a large extent, on the allowable lateral deflections of the piles. However, if the ground is not improved, under severe seismic loading, the piles will have minimal lateral resistance in the zone of liquefaction. Input parameters for lateral pile analysis in the program LPILE are provided in Table 3. These input parameters are for piles spaced farther apart than 8B, where *B* is the pile diameter.



Table 3 LPILE Input Parameters							
Soil Type	Soil Model	Elevation Range (ft)	Effective Unit Weight (pcf)	Friction Angle (degrees)	P-Y Modulus (pci)	Liquefied P-Multiplier	
Sandy gravel to gravelly sand (above water table)	API Sand	30 to 9	65	35	132	1	
Sandy gravel to gravelly sand (below water table)	API Sand	9 to –9	65	35	80	0.1	
Gravelly sand/silty sand	API Sand	Below –9	65	36	93	See Note	

Notes:

1) Seismic conditions not incorporated

pcf = pounds per cubic foot

3) Check sensitivity to varying from 0.3 to 1.0

SLOPE STABILITY

The proposed project area sits along the east edge and north end of the peninsula, where an approximately 3H:1V slope extends down from the crest of the peninsula to about elevation –40 feet. Slide 9.020, a slope stability analysis computer program, was used to model and calculate the factors of safety for the static case and pseudostatic cases for the MCE event. Minimum factors of safety were chosen for each case based on published standards from ASCE 61-14. Table 4 below presents the minimum factors of safety used as the basis of our analysis.

Table 4 Minimum Required Factors for Slope Stability					
Static	Pseudostatic Non-Liquefied				
1.5	1.1				

To model the inertial effects on the slope due to seismic shaking, a horizontal pseudostatic acceleration was applied to the model. This horizontal acceleration coefficient, K_h , was calculated from the PGA produced by the 2007 USGS design mapped values of Alaska. K_h includes a reduction factor of 0.5, allowing for 1 to 2 inches of permanent deformation, and a factor, α , to account for wave scattering for slopes greater than 20 feet tall following the simplified method from NCHRP 611. We calculated a pseudo-static horizontal acceleration coefficient of 0.202g.

We analyzed the stability of the slope using non-circular search methods following the Spencer and Morgenstern-Price search methods. We ignored shallow surficial failures of the slope material when estimating the critical factor of safety. Table 5, below, presents the minimum calculated factors of safety for the five loading cases analyzed.


KPFF 8 March 2022 Page 8

Table 5 Estimated Factors of Safety without Ground Improvement										
Case	Factor of Safety									
Static: No Ground Improvement	1.7									
Post Seismic: Liquefied	1.0									
Pseudostatic: Non-Liquefied, No Ground Improvement	1.0									

The results show that the slope meets the minimum required factors of safety for the static scenario. However, the slope does not meet the minimum required factors of safety under pseudostatic loading of the design event or under post-seismic liquefied strengths. To reduce the risk of significant slope failure in the seismic scenarios, ground improvement may be employed. We evaluated the stability of a conceptual 30-foot-wide by 30-foot-deep block of ground improvement with a preliminary area replacement ratio of 40 percent. Table 6 below presents the results of these updated models.

Table 6 Estimated Factors of Safety with Ground Improvement										
Case Factor of Safety										
Post Seismic: Liquefied, With Ground Improvement	1.4									
Pseudostatic: Non-Liquefied, With Ground Improvement	1.1									

The results estimate that the modeled ground improvement geometry and area replacement ratio results in the minimum factors of safety being met for both seismic scenarios.

The attached Figures 4 through 8, show slope stability model output for the five cases analyzed.

Lateral Earth Pressure Recommendations

We understand a sheet pile wall is being considered along the peninsula, to retain new backfill acting to extend the working width of the peninsula area. Based on borings GO2 and GO6, we anticipate the wall to retain fill predominately composed of medium dense to very dense sandy gravel to gravely sand, and native material consisting of lose to very dense gravely sand to sandy gravel. To assist in design of the new retaining wall to retain these soils, we present lateral earth pressure recommendations in Figures 9 through 11. These figures present lateral earth pressure recommendations for the following scenarios:

- static and pseudostatic lateral earth pressures without ground improvement;
- static and pseudostatic lateral earth pressures with ground improvement; and
- liquefied lateral earth pressures without ground improvement.

For final design we recommend placement of 18 inches of free-draining, well-graded sand and gravel (less than 3 percent fines based on 3/4-inch fraction) against backfilled walls to prevent excessive build-up of hydrostatic pressures. However, the lateral earth pressures recommended above do include consideration for a tidal lag in the between the retained backfill material and the adjacent Inlet water elevation.



KPFF 8 March 2022 Page 9

Attachments:

Figure 1 - Site and Exploration Plan

Figure 2 - Allowable Vertical Resistance for Offshore Steel Pipe Piles

Figure 3 - Nominal Unit Skin Friction for Offshore Steel Pipe Piles

Figure 4 - Static: No Ground Improvement

Figure 5 - Post-Seismic, Liquefied: No Ground Improvement

Figure 6 - Pseudostatic, Non-Liquefied: No Ground Improvement

Figure 7 - Post-Seismic, Liquefied: With Ground Improvement

Figure 8 - Pseudostatic, Non-Liquefied: With Ground Improvement

Figure 9 - Static and Pseudostatic Lateral Earth Pressure No Ground Improvement

Figure 10 - Static and Pseudostatic Lateral Earth Pressure with Ground Improvement

Figure 11 - Liquified Lateral Earth Pressure No Ground Improvement

Attachment 1 - Historic Borings Attachment 2 - Historic Lab Data Attachment 3 - Geophysical Data

\\haleyaldrich.com\share\sea_projects\Notebooks\P203404-000_Port_of_Skagway_Ore_Dock\Deliverables\Memos\Skagway Ore Peninsula Alternatives Analysis Geotechnical Memorandum\2022_0308_HCHA_SkagwayOrePeninsulaAlternativesAnalysisGeotechMemo_F.docx



KPFF 8 March 2022 Page 10

References

- 1. Alaska Earthquake Center. *Maximum Estimated Tsunami Inundation From Tectonic and Landslide Sources, Skagway, Alaska*. [1:6800]. Skagway, Alaska: Report of Investigation, 2018.
- Alaska Earthquake Center. Southeastern Alaska Seismicity, from Alaska Earthquake Center Website: http://www.aeic.alaska.edu/maps/southeast_seismicity_map.html. Accessed Oct. 6, 2015.
- 3. ASCE 41-17, 2017, Seismic Evaluation and Retrofit of Existing Buildings, American Society of Civil Engineers, Reston, Virginia.
- 4. ASCE 61-14, 2017, Seismic Design of Piers and Wharves, American Society of Civil Engineers, Reston, Virginia.
- COSMOS Virtual Data Center. Consortium of Organizations for Strong-Motion Observation Systems (COSMOS) 1997–2007. <u>http://strongmotioncenter.org/vdc/scripts/earthquakes.plx#AK</u>. Accessed Oct. 6, 2015.
- 6. Idriss, I.M. and R.W. Boulanger. 2008. Soil Liquefaction During Earthquakes. EERI Publication.
- US Geological Survey 2008a. USGS 2008 National Seismic Hazard Mapping Project PSHA Interactive Deaggregation Web Site: <u>http://geohazards.usgs.gov/deaggint/2008/</u>. Accessed Oct. 6, 2015.
- 8. US Geological Survey 2008b. USGS National Seismic Hazard Maps, from USGS Website: http://earthquake.usgs.gov/hazards/designmaps/usdesign.php. Accessed Oct. 6, 2015.

\\haleyaldrich.com\share\sea_projects\Notebooks\P203404-000_Port_of_Skagway_Ore_Dock\Deliverables\Memos\Skagway Ore Peninsula Alternatives Analysis Geotechnical Memorandum\2022_0308_HCHA_SkagwayOrePeninsulaAlternativesAnalysisGeotechMemo_F.docx





LEGEND

 \bullet \bullet GEOPHYSICAL EXPLORATION

SURFACE EXPLORATION, HART CROWSER

NOTES

1. ALL LOCATIONS AND DIMENSIONS ARE APPROXIMATE.

2. AERIAL IMAGERY SOURCE: ESRI



120

240

SCALE IN FEET

A division of Haley & Aldrich

SKAGWAY ORE DOCK IMPROVEMENTS SKAGWAY, ALASKA

SITE AND EXPLORATION PLAN

MARCH 2022







150		Method N Spence GLE / Morgenst	<mark>ame</mark> r tern-P	Mi 2 Price 1	in FS 1.0 1.0									
- 100													1.0	
- - - - - - -	W V				0				·					W
	_			lick				Matical						
-		MaterialName	Color	Unit Weight (lbs/ft3)	Strength Type	Cohesion (psf)	Phi (deg)	Vertical Strength Ratio	MinimumShear Strength (psf)	Water Surface	Hu Type	łu		
	Fi	ill - Sandy GRAVEL to gravelly SAND		125	Mohr- Coulomb	0	35			Water Surface	Custom	1		
6-	Fil	ill - onshore - liquefied		125	Vertical Stress Ratio			0.52	0	Water Surface	Custom	1		
μ <u>η</u>	Or	nshore - Gravely sand/ silty sand - liquefied		125	Vertical Stress Ratio			0.48	0	Water	Custom	0		
-	Of	ffshore- Gravely sand/		115	Vertical Stross Patio			0.35	0	Water	Custom	1		
	Gr	ravely Sand/silty SAND		130	Mohr-	0	36			Water	Custom	1		
-	- L		_]	1 1 1			· · ·			_ surrace	· · ·	'		
<u> </u>		100			150				200		4	250	300 350	400 450 50
												Ore Peninsula Dock Alternatives Analysis Skagway, Alaska		
														Post-Seismic, Liquefied: No Ground Improvement
														203404-000 Scale 1:500 3/1/2022
														Figure Figure
														A division of Holey & Aldrich 5

	Me GLE / Mo	Method Name Min FS Spencer 1.0 GLE / Morgenstern-Price 1.0													► 0.202
	Material Name Fill - Sandy	Color	Unit Weight (Ibs/ ft3)	Strength Type	Cohesion (psf)	Phi (deg)	Vertical Strength Ratio	Minimum Shear Strength (psf)	Water Surface	Ни Туре	Hu				
μ- -	GRAVEL to gravelly SAND		125	Mohr- Coulomb	0	35			Water Surface	Custom	1				
-	Fill - onshore - liquefied		125	Vertical Stress Ratio			0.52	0	Water Surface	Custom	1				
	Gravely Sand/ silty SAND		130	Mohr- Coulomb	0	36			Water Surface	Custom	1				
100		T 1 1			1			1		1	1 1				
É	50		10	0		150)		200			250 300	350 Ore Peni	400 nsula Dock Alternative	450 s Analysis
													Skagway, Alaska		
													Pseudost	atic, Non-Liquefied: N Improvement	io Ground
													203404-000	Scale 1:500	3/1/2022 Figure
													an H/	A division of Haley & Aldrich	6







H_{WO} = depth to tidal water level

 H_{WI} = depth to retained groundwater table

Note: If sheetpile wall is designed to fully drain, there is no unbalanced hydrostatic force.



SKAGWAY ORE DOCK IMPROVEMENTS SKAGWAY, ALASKA

STATIC AND PSEUDOSTATIC LATERAL EARTH PRESSURE NO GROUND IMPROVEMENT

NOT TO SCALE MARCH 2022



H_{WO} = depth to tidal water level

 H_{WI} = depth to retained groundwater table

Note: If sheetpile wall is designed to fully drain, there is no unbalanced hydrostatic force.



SKAGWAY ORE DOCK IMPROVEMENTS SKAGWAY, ALASKA

STATIC AND PSEUDOSTATIC LATERAL EARTH PRESSURE WITH GROUND IMPROVEMENT

NOT TO SCALE MARCH 2022



H_{WO} = depth to tidal water level

 H_{WI} = depth to retained groundwater table

Note: If sheetpile wall is designed to fully drain, there is no unbalanced hydrostatic force.

Liquified Lateral Earth Pressure for Sheetpile Retaining Structure and One Level of Ground Anchors



SKAGWAY ORE DOCK IMPROVEMENTS SKAGWAY, ALASKA

LIQUIFIED LATERAL EARTH PRESSURE NO GROUND IMPROVEMENT

NOT TO SCALE MARCH 2022

ATTACHMENT 1 Historic Borings



Location: N 2785727.3869 E 2376494.4484 Approximate Ground Surface Elevation: -11.3 Feet Horizontal Datum: Alaska State Plane Zone 1, NAD83, US Feet Vertical Datum: Drill Equipment: Mobil B-59/Mud Rotary Hammer Type: SPT w/140 lb. Autohammer Hole Diameter: 5 inches Logged By: A. Wade Reviewed By: N. Campbell



1. Refer to Figure A-1 for explanation of descriptions and symbols.

2. Soil descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive and actual changes may be gradual.

 USCS designations are based on visual manual classification (ASTM D 2488) unless otherwise supported by laboratory testing (ASTM D 2487).

- 4. Groundwater level, if indicated, is at time of drilling (ATD) or for date specified. Level may vary with time.
- 5. * Samples taken with 2.5" I.D. 3" O.D. Dames & Moore Sampler with 140 lb. SPT hammer and 30" drop height. Blow counts shown have been converted to SPT equivalent values.



Location: N 2785727.3869 E 2376494.4484 Approximate Ground Surface Elevation: -11.3 Feet Horizontal Datum: Alaska State Plane Zone 1, NAD83, US Feet Vertical Datum: Drill Equipment: Mobil B-59/Mud Rotary Hammer Type: SPT w/140 lb. Autohammer Hole Diameter: 5 inches Logged By: A. Wade Reviewed By: N. Campbell



- 2. Soil descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive and actual changes may be gradual.
- USCS designations are based on visual manual classification (ASTM D 2488) unless otherwise supported by laboratory testing (ASTM D 2487).
- 4. Groundwater level, if indicated, is at time of drilling (ATD) or for date specified. Level may vary with time.
- 5. * Samples taken with 2.5" I.D. 3" O.D. Dames & Moore Sampler with 140 lb. SPT hammer and 30" drop height. Blow counts shown have been converted to SPT equivalent values.



Location: N 2785727.3869 E 2376494.4484 Approximate Ground Surface Elevation: -11.3 Feet Horizontal Datum: Alaska State Plane Zone 1, NAD83, US Feet Vertical Datum:

Drill Equipment: Mobil B-59/Mud Rotary Hammer Type: SPT w/140 lb. Autohammer Hole Diameter: 5 inches Logged By: A. Wade Reviewed By: N. Campbell



1. Refer to Figure A-1 for explanation of descriptions and symbols.

2. Soil descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive and actual changes may be gradual. 3. USCS designations are based on visual manual classification (ASTM D 2488) unless otherwise

supported by laboratory testing (ASTM D 2487).
4. Groundwater level, if indicated, is at time of drilling (ATD) or for date specified. Level may vary

with time.

5. * Samples taken with 2.5" I.D. 3" O.D. Dames & Moore Sampler with 140 lb. SPT hammer and 30" drop height. Blow counts shown have been converted to SPT equivalent values.



Location: N 2786024.1941 E 2376764.8168 Approximate Ground Surface Elevation: -8.6 Feet Horizontal Datum: Alaska State Plane Zone 1, NAD83, US Feet Vertical Datum: Drill Equipment: Mobil B-59/Mud Rotary Hammer Type: SPT w/140 lb. Autohammer Hole Diameter: 5 inches Logged By: A. Wade Reviewed By: N. Campbell



- Soil descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive and actual changes may be gradual.
 USCS designations are based on visual manual classification (ASTM D 2488) unless otherwise
- USCS designations are based on visual manual classification (ASTM D 2488) unless otherwis supported by laboratory testing (ASTM D 2487).
- 4. Groundwater level, if indicated, is at time of drilling (ATD) or for date specified. Level may vary with time.
- 5. * Samples taken with 2.5" I.D. 3" O.D. Dames & Moore Sampler with 140 lb. SPT hammer and 30" drop height. Blow counts shown have been converted to SPT equivalent values.



Location: N 2786024.1941 E 2376764.8168 Approximate Ground Surface Elevation: -8.6 Feet Horizontal Datum: Alaska State Plane Zone 1, NAD83, US Feet Vertical Datum:

Drill Equipment: Mobil B-59/Mud Rotary Hammer Type: SPT w/140 lb. Autohammer Hole Diameter: 5 inches Logged By: A. Wade Reviewed By: N. Campbell



1. Refer to Figure A-1 for explanation of descriptions and symbols.

2. Soil descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive and actual changes may be gradual. 3. USCS designations are based on visual manual classification (ASTM D 2488) unless otherwise

supported by laboratory testing (ASTM D 2487).
4. Groundwater level, if indicated, is at time of drilling (ATD) or for date specified. Level may vary

with time.

5. * Samples taken with 2.5" I.D. 3" O.D. Dames & Moore Sampler with 140 lb. SPT hammer and 30" drop height. Blow counts shown have been converted to SPT equivalent values.



NEW BORING LOG 1908100-BL.GPJ HC_CORP.GDT 4/28/15

Location: N 2786559.7346 E 2377333.8577 Approximate Ground Surface Elevation: 28.9 Feet Horizontal Datum: Alaska State Plane Zone 1, NAD83, US Feet Vertical Datum:

Drill Equipment: Mobil B-59/Mud Rotary Hammer Type: SPT w/140 lb. Autohammer Hole Diameter: 5 inches Logged By: A. Wade Reviewed By: N. Campbell



1. Refer to Figure A-1 for explanation of descriptions and symbols.

- 2. Soil descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive and actual changes may be gradual.
- 3. USCS designations are based on visual manual classification (ASTM D 2488) unless otherwise supported by laboratory testing (ASTM D 2487).
 4. Groundwater level, if indicated, is at time of drilling (ATD) or for date specified. Level may vary
- with time.
- 5. * Samples taken with 2.5" I.D. 3" O.D. Dames & Moore Sampler with 140 lb. SPT hammer and 30" drop height. Blow counts shown have been converted to SPT equivalent values.

HARTCROWSER 19081-00 1/15 Figure A-4 1/3

Location: N 2786559.7346 E 2377333.8577 Approximate Ground Surface Elevation: 28.9 Feet Horizontal Datum: Alaska State Plane Zone 1, NAD83, US Feet Vertical Datum: Drill Equipment: Mobil B-59/Mud Rotary Hammer Type: SPT w/140 lb. Autohammer Hole Diameter: 5 inches Logged By: A. Wade Reviewed By: N. Campbell



1. Refer to Figure A-1 for explanation of descriptions and symbols.

2. Soil descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive and actual changes may be gradual.

 USCS designations are based on visual manual classification (ASTM D 2488) unless otherwise supported by laboratory testing (ASTM D 2487).

4. Groundwater level, if indicated, is at time of drilling (ATD) or for date specified. Level may vary with time.

5. * Samples taken with 2.5" I.D. 3" O.D. Dames & Moore Sampler with 140 lb. SPT hammer and 30" drop height. Blow counts shown have been converted to SPT equivalent values.



Location: N 2786559.7346 E 2377333.8577 Approximate Ground Surface Elevation: 28.9 Feet Horizontal Datum: Alaska State Plane Zone 1, NAD83, US Feet Vertical Datum: Drill Equipment: Mobil B-59/Mud Rotary Hammer Type: SPT w/140 lb. Autohammer Hole Diameter: 5 inches Logged By: A. Wade Reviewed By: N. Campbell



1. Refer to Figure A-1 for explanation of descriptions and symbols.

Soil descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive and actual changes may be gradual.
 USCS designations are based on visual manual classification (ASTM D 2488) unless otherwise

 USCS designations are based on visual manual classification (ASTM D 2488) unless otherwis supported by laboratory testing (ASTM D 2487).

 Groundwater level, if indicated, is at time of drilling (ATD) or for date specified. Level may vary with time.

5. * Samples taken with 2.5" I.D. 3" O.D. Dames & Moore Sampler with 140 lb. SPT hammer and 30" drop height. Blow counts shown have been converted to SPT equivalent values.



Location: N 2786633.7918 E 2377153.5044 Approximate Ground Surface Elevation: 30.0 Feet Horizontal Datum: Alaska State Plane Zone 1, NAD83, US Feet Vertical Datum: Drill Equipment: Mobil B-59/Mud Rotary Hammer Type: SPT w/140 lb. Autohammer Hole Diameter: 5 inches Logged By: A. Wade Reviewed By: N. Campbell



1. Refer to Figure A-1 for explanation of descriptions and symbols.

2. Soil descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive and actual changes may be gradual.

 USCS designations are based on visual manual classification (ASTM D 2488) unless otherwise supported by laboratory testing (ASTM D 2487).

- 4. Groundwater level, if indicated, is at time of drilling (ATD) or for date specified. Level may vary with time.
- 5. * Samples taken with 2.5" I.D. 3" O.D. Dames & Moore Sampler with 140 lb. SPT hammer and 30" drop height. Blow counts shown have been converted to SPT equivalent values.



Location: N 2786633.7918 E 2377153.5044 Approximate Ground Surface Elevation: 30.0 Feet Horizontal Datum: Alaska State Plane Zone 1, NAD83, US Feet Vertical Datum: Drill Equipment: Mobil B-59/Mud Rotary Hammer Type: SPT w/140 lb. Autohammer Hole Diameter: 5 inches Logged By: A. Wade Reviewed By: N. Campbell



1. Refer to Figure A-1 for explanation of descriptions and symbols.

Soil descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive and actual changes may be gradual.
 USCS designed in a visual manual description (ASTM D 2489) unless attemptions.

 USCS designations are based on visual manual classification (ASTM D 2488) unless otherwise supported by laboratory testing (ASTM D 2487).

4. Groundwater level, if indicated, is at time of drilling (ATD) or for date specified. Level may vary with time.

5. * Samples taken with 2.5" I.D. 3" O.D. Dames & Moore Sampler with 140 lb. SPT hammer and 30" drop height. Blow counts shown have been converted to SPT equivalent values.

 HARTCROWSER

 19081-00
 1/15

 Figure A-5
 2/3

Location: N 2786633.7918 E 2377153.5044 Approximate Ground Surface Elevation: 30.0 Feet Horizontal Datum: Alaska State Plane Zone 1, NAD83, US Feet Vertical Datum:

Drill Equipment: Mobil B-59/Mud Rotary Hammer Type: SPT w/140 lb. Autohammer Hole Diameter: 5 inches Logged By: A. Wade Reviewed By: N. Campbell



1. Refer to Figure A-1 for explanation of descriptions and symbols.

2. Soil descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive and actual changes may be gradual. 3. USCS designations are based on visual manual classification (ASTM D 2488) unless otherwise

supported by laboratory testing (ASTM D 2487).
4. Groundwater level, if indicated, is at time of drilling (ATD) or for date specified. Level may vary

with time.

5. * Samples taken with 2.5" I.D. 3" O.D. Dames & Moore Sampler with 140 lb. SPT hammer and 30" drop height. Blow counts shown have been converted to SPT equivalent values.

HARTCROWSER 19081-00 1/15 Figure A-5 3/3

Location: N 2786321.3295 E 2376854.6224 Approximate Ground Surface Elevation: 29.1 Feet Horizontal Datum: Alaska State Plane Zone 1, NAD83, US Feet Vertical Datum:

Drill Equipment: Mobil B-59/Mud Rotary Hammer Type: SPT w/140 lb. Autohammer Hole Diameter: 5 inches Logged By: A. Wade Reviewed By: N. Campbell



- 2. Soil descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive and actual changes may be gradual.
- 3. USCS designations are based on visual manual classification (ASTM D 2488) unless otherwise supported by laboratory testing (ASTM D 2487).
 4. Groundwater level, if indicated, is at time of drilling (ATD) or for date specified. Level may vary
- with time.
- 5. * Samples taken with 2.5" I.D. 3" O.D. Dames & Moore Sampler with 140 lb. SPT hammer and 30" drop height. Blow counts shown have been converted to SPT equivalent values.



Location: N 2786321.3295 E 2376854.6224 Approximate Ground Surface Elevation: 29.1 Feet Horizontal Datum: Alaska State Plane Zone 1, NAD83, US Feet Vertical Datum:

Drill Equipment: Mobil B-59/Mud Rotary Hammer Type: SPT w/140 lb. Autohammer Hole Diameter: 5 inches Logged By: A. Wade Reviewed By: N. Campbell



1. Refer to Figure A-1 for explanation of descriptions and symbols.

2. Soil descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive and actual changes may be gradual.

3. USCS designations are based on visual manual classification (ASTM D 2488) unless otherwise supported by laboratory testing (ASTM D 2487).
4. Groundwater level, if indicated, is at time of drilling (ATD) or for date specified. Level may vary

with time.

5. * Samples taken with 2.5" I.D. 3" O.D. Dames & Moore Sampler with 140 lb. SPT hammer and 30" drop height. Blow counts shown have been converted to SPT equivalent values.

HARTCROWSER 19081-00 1/15 2/3 Figure A-6

Location: N 2786321.3295 E 2376854.6224 Approximate Ground Surface Elevation: 29.1 Feet Horizontal Datum: Alaska State Plane Zone 1, NAD83, US Feet Vertical Datum: Drill Equipment: Mobil B-59/Mud Rotary Hammer Type: SPT w/140 lb. Autohammer Hole Diameter: 5 inches Logged By: A. Wade Reviewed By: N. Campbell



1. Refer to Figure A-1 for explanation of descriptions and symbols.

Soil descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive and actual changes may be gradual.
 USCS designations are based on visual manual classification (ASTM D 2488) unless otherwise

supported by laboratory testing (ASTM D 2487).

 Groundwater level, if indicated, is at time of drilling (ATD) or for date specified. Level may vary with time.

5. * Samples taken with 2.5" I.D. 3" O.D. Dames & Moore Sampler with 140 lb. SPT hammer and 30" drop height. Blow counts shown have been converted to SPT equivalent values.



Location: N 2786069.2094 E 2376634.6097 Approximate Ground Surface Elevation: 29.8 Feet Horizontal Datum: Alaska State Plane Zone 1, NAD83, US Feet Vertical Datum: Drill Equipment: Mobil B-59/Mud Rotary Hammer Type: SPT w/140 lb. Autohammer Hole Diameter: 6 inches Logged By: A. Wade Reviewed By: N. Campbell



- 2. Soil descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive and actual changes may be gradual.
- USCS designations are based on visual manual classification (ASTM D 2488) unless otherwise supported by laboratory testing (ASTM D 2487).
- Groundwater level, if indicated, is at time of drilling (ATD) or for date specified. Level may vary with time.
- 5. * Samples taken with 2.5" I.D. 3" O.D. Dames & Moore Sampler with 140 lb. SPT hammer and 30" drop height. Blow counts shown have been converted to SPT equivalent values.



Location: N 2786069.2094 E 2376634.6097 Approximate Ground Surface Elevation: 29.8 Feet Horizontal Datum: Alaska State Plane Zone 1, NAD83, US Feet Vertical Datum: Drill Equipment: Mobil B-59/Mud Rotary Hammer Type: SPT w/140 lb. Autohammer Hole Diameter: 6 inches Logged By: A. Wade Reviewed By: N. Campbell



- 2. Soil descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive and actual changes may be gradual.
- USCS designations are based on visual manual classification (ASTM D 2488) unless otherwise supported by laboratory testing (ASTM D 2487).
- 4. Groundwater level, if indicated, is at time of drilling (ATD) or for date specified. Level may vary with time.
- 5. * Samples taken with 2.5" I.D. 3" O.D. Dames & Moore Sampler with 140 lb. SPT hammer and 30" drop height. Blow counts shown have been converted to SPT equivalent values.



Location: N 2786069.2094 E 2376634.6097 Approximate Ground Surface Elevation: 29.8 Feet Horizontal Datum: Alaska State Plane Zone 1, NAD83, US Feet Vertical Datum: Drill Equipment: Mobil B-59/Mud Rotary Hammer Type: SPT w/140 lb. Autohammer Hole Diameter: 6 inches Logged By: A. Wade Reviewed By: N. Campbell



- 2. Soil descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive and actual changes may be gradual.
- USCS designations are based on visual manual classification (ASTM D 2488) unless otherwise supported by laboratory testing (ASTM D 2487).
- 4. Groundwater level, if indicated, is at time of drilling (ATD) or for date specified. Level may vary with time.
- 5. * Samples taken with 2.5" I.D. 3" O.D. Dames & Moore Sampler with 140 lb. SPT hammer and 30" drop height. Blow counts shown have been converted to SPT equivalent values.



Location: N 2785843.2181 E 2376336.1308 Approximate Ground Surface Elevation: 28.9 Feet Horizontal Datum: Alaska State Plane Zone 1, NAD83, US Feet Vertical Datum: Drill Equipment: Mobil B-59/Mud Rotary Hammer Type: SPT w/140 lb. Autohammer Hole Diameter: 5 inches Logged By: A. Wade Reviewed By: N. Campbell



1. Refer to Figure A-1 for explanation of descriptions and symbols.

- Soil descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive and actual changes may be gradual.
 USCS designations are based on visual manual classification (ASTM D 2488) unless otherwised
- USCS designations are based on visual manual classification (ASTM D 2488) unless otherwise supported by laboratory testing (ASTM D 2487).
- 4. Groundwater level, if indicated, is at time of drilling (ATD) or for date specified. Level may vary with time.
- 5. * Samples taken with 2.5" I.D. 3" O.D. Dames & Moore Sampler with 140 lb. SPT hammer and 30" drop height. Blow counts shown have been converted to SPT equivalent values.

 HARTCROWSER

 19081-00
 1/15

 Figure A-8
 1/3

Location: N 2785843.2181 E 2376336.1308 Approximate Ground Surface Elevation: 28.9 Feet Horizontal Datum: Alaska State Plane Zone 1, NAD83, US Feet Vertical Datum: Drill Equipment: Mobil B-59/Mud Rotary Hammer Type: SPT w/140 lb. Autohammer Hole Diameter: 5 inches Logged By: A. Wade Reviewed By: N. Campbell



- Soil descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive and actual changes may be gradual.
 USCS designations are based on visual manual classification (ASTM D 2488) unless otherwise
- supported by laboratory testing (ASTM D 2487).
 4. Groundwater level, if indicated, is at time of drilling (ATD) or for date specified. Level may vary
- with time. 5. * Samples taken with 2.5" I.D. 3" O.D. Dames & Moore Sampler with 140 lb. SPT hammer and 30" drop height. Blow counts shown have been converted to SPT equivalent values.



Location: N 2785843.2181 E 2376336.1308 Approximate Ground Surface Elevation: 28.9 Feet Horizontal Datum: Alaska State Plane Zone 1, NAD83, US Feet Vertical Datum:

Drill Equipment: Mobil B-59/Mud Rotary Hammer Type: SPT w/140 lb. Autohammer Hole Diameter: 5 inches Logged By: A. Wade Reviewed By: N. Campbell



- 2. Soil descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive and actual changes may be gradual. 3. USCS designations are based on visual manual classification (ASTM D 2488) unless otherwise
- supported by laboratory testing (ASTM D 2487).
 4. Groundwater level, if indicated, is at time of drilling (ATD) or for date specified. Level may vary
- with time.
- 5. * Samples taken with 2.5" I.D. 3" O.D. Dames & Moore Sampler with 140 lb. SPT hammer and 30" drop height. Blow counts shown have been converted to SPT equivalent values.



Location: N 2785912.9858 E 2376249.2784 Approximate Ground Surface Elevation: 28.9 Feet Horizontal Datum: Alaska State Plane Zone 1, NAD83, US Feet Vertical Datum: Drill Equipment: Mobil B-59/Mud Rotary Hammer Type: SPT w/140 lb. Autohammer Hole Diameter: 5 inches Logged By: A. Wade Reviewed By: N. Campbell



1. Refer to Figure A-1 for explanation of descriptions and symbols.

Soil descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive and actual changes may be gradual.
 USCS designations are based on visual manual classification (ASTM D 2488) unless otherwise

supported by laboratory testing (ASTM D 2487).4. Groundwater level, if indicated, is at time of drilling (ATD) or for date specified. Level may vary with time.

5. * Samples taken with 2.5" I.D. 3" O.D. Dames & Moore Sampler with 140 lb. SPT hammer and 30" drop height. Blow counts shown have been converted to SPT equivalent values.


Location: N 2785912.9858 E 2376249.2784 Approximate Ground Surface Elevation: 28.9 Feet Horizontal Datum: Alaska State Plane Zone 1, NAD83, US Feet Vertical Datum: Drill Equipment: Mobil B-59/Mud Rotary Hammer Type: SPT w/140 lb. Autohammer Hole Diameter: 5 inches Logged By: A. Wade Reviewed By: N. Campbell



1. Refer to Figure A-1 for explanation of descriptions and symbols.

- Soil descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive and actual changes may be gradual.
 USCS designations are based on visual manual classification (ASTM D 2488) unless otherwised
- USCS designations are based on visual manual classification (ASTM D 2488) unless otherwise supported by laboratory testing (ASTM D 2487).
- 4. Groundwater level, if indicated, is at time of drilling (ATD) or for date specified. Level may vary with time.
- 5. * Samples taken with 2.5" I.D. 3" O.D. Dames & Moore Sampler with 140 lb. SPT hammer and 30" drop height. Blow counts shown have been converted to SPT equivalent values.

 HARTCROWSER

 19081-00
 1/15

 Figure A-9
 2/3

Location: N 2785912.9858 E 2376249.2784 Approximate Ground Surface Elevation: 28.9 Feet Horizontal Datum: Alaska State Plane Zone 1, NAD83, US Feet Vertical Datum:

Drill Equipment: Mobil B-59/Mud Rotary Hammer Type: SPT w/140 lb. Autohammer Hole Diameter: 5 inches Logged By: A. Wade Reviewed By: N. Campbell



1. Refer to Figure A-1 for explanation of descriptions and symbols.

2. Soil descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive and actual changes may be gradual. 3. USCS designations are based on visual manual classification (ASTM D 2488) unless otherwise

supported by laboratory testing (ASTM D 2487).
4. Groundwater level, if indicated, is at time of drilling (ATD) or for date specified. Level may vary

with time.

5. * Samples taken with 2.5" I.D. 3" O.D. Dames & Moore Sampler with 140 lb. SPT hammer and 30" drop height. Blow counts shown have been converted to SPT equivalent values.



Location: N 2786539.2333 E 2376605.9071 Approximate Ground Surface Elevation: 30.0 Feet Horizontal Datum: Alaska State Plane Zone 1, NAD83, US Feet Vertical Datum: Drill Equipment: Mobil B-59/Mud Rotary Hammer Type: SPT w/140 lb. Autohammer Hole Diameter: 5 inches Logged By: A. Wade Reviewed By: N. Campbell



1. Refer to Figure A-1 for explanation of descriptions and symbols.

- Soil descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive and actual changes may be gradual.
 USCS designations are based on visual manual classification (ASTM D 2488) unless otherwise
- USCS designations are based on visual manual classification (ASTM D 2488) unless otherwise supported by laboratory testing (ASTM D 2487).
- 4. Groundwater level, if indicated, is at time of drilling (ATD) or for date specified. Level may vary with time.
- 5. * Samples taken with 2.5" I.D. 3" O.D. Dames & Moore Sampler with 140 lb. SPT hammer and 30" drop height. Blow counts shown have been converted to SPT equivalent values.



Location: N 2786539.2333 E 2376605.9071 Approximate Ground Surface Elevation: 30.0 Feet Horizontal Datum: Alaska State Plane Zone 1, NAD83, US Feet Vertical Datum: Drill Equipment: Mobil B-59/Mud Rotary Hammer Type: SPT w/140 lb. Autohammer Hole Diameter: 5 inches Logged By: A. Wade Reviewed By: N. Campbell



1. Refer to Figure A-1 for explanation of descriptions and symbols.

2. Soil descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive and actual changes may be gradual.

 USCS designations are based on visual manual classification (ASTM D 2488) unless otherwise supported by laboratory testing (ASTM D 2487).

4. Groundwater level, if indicated, is at time of drilling (ATD) or for date specified. Level may vary with time.

5. * Samples taken with 2.5" I.D. 3" O.D. Dames & Moore Sampler with 140 lb. SPT hammer and 30" drop height. Blow counts shown have been converted to SPT equivalent values.



Location: N 2786539.2333 E 2376605.9071 Approximate Ground Surface Elevation: 30.0 Feet Horizontal Datum: Alaska State Plane Zone 1, NAD83, US Feet Vertical Datum:

Drill Equipment: Mobil B-59/Mud Rotary Hammer Type: SPT w/140 lb. Autohammer Hole Diameter: 5 inches Logged By: A. Wade Reviewed By: N. Campbell



1. Refer to Figure A-1 for explanation of descriptions and symbols.

2. Soil descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive and actual changes may be gradual. 3. USCS designations are based on visual manual classification (ASTM D 2488) unless otherwise

supported by laboratory testing (ASTM D 2487).
4. Groundwater level, if indicated, is at time of drilling (ATD) or for date specified. Level may vary

- with time.
- 5. * Samples taken with 2.5" I.D. 3" O.D. Dames & Moore Sampler with 140 lb. SPT hammer and 30" drop height. Blow counts shown have been converted to SPT equivalent values.



ATTACHMENT 2 Historic Lab Data





Unified Soil Classification (USC) System Soil Grain Size

	Size of Opening In Inches							Number of Mesh per Inch (US Standard)					Grain Size in Millimetres														
12	9	4 ¢	, c	1 - <u>-</u> C	. –	3/4 5/8 1/2	3/8	1/4	4		10	20		40	60	100	000	.06	.04	.03	.02	.01	.006	.004	.003	.002	200
Γ																											
L																											
300	200	100 80	60	40	30	20	10	9	4	З	2	← œ	9.	4.	εi ci		1 .08	90.	.04	.03	.02	.01 01	000	.004	.003	.002	100
												Grain Si	ze in	Milli	netres												
	COBBLES GRAVEL							SAND				SILT and CLAY															
	Coarse-Grained Soils														Fi	ne-Grai	ned S	Soils									

Coarse-Grained Soils

GW	GP	GM	GM GC		SP	SM	S C		
Clean GRAV	'EL <5% fines	GRAVEL wit	h >12% fines	Clean SAN	D <5% fines	SAND with >12% fines			
GRA	VEL >50% coarse	fraction larger tha	n No. 4	SAN	D >50% coarse fra	action smaller than	No. 4		
Coarse-Grained Soils >50% larger than No. 200 sieve									

G W and S W
$$\left(\frac{D_{60}}{D_{10}}\right) > 4$$
 for G W & & $1 \le \left(\frac{(D_{30})^2}{D_{10} \times D_{60}}\right) \le 3$

G P and S P Clean GRAVEL or SAND not meeting requirements for G W and S W

G M and S M Atterberg limits below A line with PI <4

G C and S C Atterberg limits above A Line with PI >7

* Coarse-grained soils with percentage of fines between 5 and 12 are considered borderline cases requiring use of dual symbols.

D₁₀, D₃₀, and D₆₀ are the particles diameter of which 10, 30, and 60 percent, respectively, of the soil weight are finer.

Fine-Grained Soils









GRAIN SIZE 1908100-BL.GPJ HC_CORP.GDT 4/3/1





GRAIN SIZE 1908100-BL.GPJ HC_CORP.GDT 4/3/15





GRAIN SIZE 1908100-BL.GPJ HC_CORP.GDT 4/3/1



ATTACHMENT 3 Geophysical Data



ALASKA DIVISION OF GEOLOGICAL & GEOPHYSICAL SURVEYS

REPORT OF INVESTIGATION 2018-2 Nicolsky and others, 2018 SHEET 1 OF 3

Explanatory text accompanies map



MAXIMUM ESTIMATED TSUNAMI INUNDATION FROM TECTONIC AND LANDSLIDE SOURCES, SKAGWAY, ALASKA

by





Affiliations:

¹ Alaska Earthquake Center, Geophysical Institute.

University of Alaska Fairbanks, PO Box 757320, Fairbanks, AK 99775-7320 ² Alaska Division of Geological & Geophysical Surveys, 3354 College Road,

Fairbanks, AK 99709-3707



Base map from: Bing maps, DigitalGlobe 2017 Projection: Alaska State Plane Zone 1 (Feet) Datum: North American Datum of 1983 **Cartography by:** L. Gardine¹ (2018) Cartographic review by: P.E. Gallagher² (2018) Peer review by: De Anne S.P. Stevens² (2018)

Appendix E – Skagway Cruise Ship Dock Float Motions and Pile Loads prepared by Glosten

SKAGWAY CRUISE SHIP DOCK Float Motions and Pile Loads

PREPARED FOR: KPFF Seattle, W/	A			ву: Kevin P. Raleigh елејкее снескео:	
Glosten	1201 WESTERI SEATTLE, WAS T 206.624.785 GLOSTEN.COM	N AVENUI HINGTON 0	E, SUITE 200 98101-2953	Matthew A. Lankowski, PE senior engineer APPROVED: Justin M. Morgan, PE PRINCIPAL-IN-CHARGE	
рос: 21112-000-	01	rev: A	FILE: 21112.01	date: 30 March 2022	

References

- 1. Pontoon Weight Take Off, KPFF, Pontoon Weight Take Off 1-25-22.xlsm, 25 January 2022.
- 2. *Skagway Ore Dock, Skagway, AK, Pontoon Layout*, Transpac, Drawing No. 18_141_A, Preliminary, 4 January 2022.
- 3. Pile Stiffness Tables, KPFF, OPT 1-Guide Pile Stiffness 1-20-2022.xlsx, 20 January 2022.
- 4. ACES [software], VeriTech Inc. v4.01, 2019.
- 5. WAMIT [software], WAMIT, Inc., v7.2, 2016.
- 6. Ore Dock Improvements, Skagway, Alaska, Option 1, 50' × 175' Concrete Float Plan, KPFF, Drawing No. FL1.1, 17 January 2019.
- 7. 42-inch Pile Stiffness Tables, KPFF, OPT 1-Guide Pile Stiffness_Concrete Float 42inch Pile 2-22-2022.xlsx, 22 February 2022.
- 8. 36-inch Pile Stiffness Tables, KPFF, OPT 1-Guide Pile Stiffness_Steel Float 36inch Pile 2-22-2022.xlsx, 22 February 2022.

Introduction

A new cruise ship dock is being constructed in Skagway, AK at the location of an unused ore dock. Float designs are being considered to replace the old dock, including a concrete pontoon and a tubular steel dock. The location of the dock has a shallow depth; therefore, float draft and vertical motions are important considerations for float design. The purpose of this study was to analyze two floating dock designs to determine their expected motions and pile loads to assist with design selection. Figure 1 shows layout of the existing ore dock's orientation relative to true north.



Figure 1 Existing ore dock

Float Options

Two float options were considered, a rectangular concrete pontoon and a steel Transpac float. The particulars of each of the floats are given in Table 1.

Float Option	Construction	Length (ft)	Breadth (ft)	Draft (ft)	Displacement (LT)
Option 1	Concrete	250	50	9.56	3400
Option 2	Steel	250	50	4.95	720

Table 1Float particulars

Option 1

Option 1 is a 250ft×50ft concrete float. The float's geometry, mass, and inertia characteristics were taken from Reference 1.

Option 2

Option 2 is a Transpac designed steel float made up of six cylindrical pontoons arranged as shown in Figure 2 (Reference 2). The float's mass and inertia characteristics were taken from centers of gravity provided in Reference 1.



Figure 2 Option 2 steel float plan view

Pile Configurations

The pile configuration used for Option 1 is shown in Figure 3, and the pile locations for Option 2 are shown in Figure 4.



Figure 3 Pile locations for Option 1 float



Figure 4 Pile locations for Option 2 float

Pile stiffnesses were assumed to be the same between float designs, corresponding to 48" piles (Reference 3). After consideration of initial pile loads, additional cases with updated pile stiffnesses were simulated. The stiffnesses for these cases corresponded to 42" piles for the Option 1 float (Reference 7) and 36" piles for the Option 2 float (Reference 8).

Wind and Wave Climatology

Wind Speed

A 45-year record of wind speeds at Skagway airport was analyzed to estimate extreme wind speeds from the south in 50-year return period and 100-year return period storms. Northerly winds are not of interest at the site because they do not generate limiting wave heights at the berth. Annual maximum wind speeds, for a directional sector from 170°N to 255°N, were derived from the site data assuming that these directions provide the dominant fetch-based wave direction. The available wind data are sampled at one-hour intervals, so the samples measured are taken as estimates of the one-hour average wind speed.

The annual data were fitted to a Fischer-Tippet Type I extremal probability distribution. To improve the fit to the upper end of the wind speed range annual maxima below 28 knots were eliminated from the fitted data points.

Extreme one-hour wind speeds in the 50-year and 100-year return period storms were computed from the fits to the Skagway Airport data.

<u>Wave Height</u>

Wave heights for 50-year and 100-year return period storms were computed by the 1D wave generation model in ACES (Reference 4) which computes fetch-limited wave conditions. Winds are assumed to blow at a constant speed over a straight-line fetch direction toward the site. Wave heights increase with time until the energy input from the wind balances the dissipation due to white-capping and other dissipative effects. The maximum computed wave height is used as the characteristic wave height for the specified wind speed. ACES requires, as inputs, the measured wind speed, the averaging time of the measured wind speed, the height of the anemometer, and the temperature difference between the air and water. From these parameters it computes the equivalent wind speed at a standard 10m height, which is used in its wave generation algorithm.

The fetch lengths at the Skagway ore dock are shown in Figure 5.



Figure 5 Fetch radials from Skagway ore dock

As shown in Figure 5, Taiya Inlet is long and narrow, with a limited range of wind headings with long fetches. For conservatism, it is assumed that the extreme southerly winds in the 170°N - 255°N sector blow along the longest-fetch direction, 195°N. In sites with rapidly varying fetch lengths, such as Skagway, ACES implements energy-averaging algorithms to find the effective fetch length in the along-wind direction, from the input fetch lengths.

The 50-year and 100-year return period wind speeds and wave heights found are summarized in Table 2. Float motions were analyzed for waves with the given modal periods as well as periods ± 0.5 seconds to capture motions experienced for a range of periods.

	50-YRP	100-YRP
One-hour average wind speed (kts)	59.61	63.76
ACES significant wave height (ft)	6.89	7.49
ACES wave modal period (sec)	4.98	5.09

Table 2	50-YRP and 100-YRP wind speeds and wave heights at Skagway ore docl	k
1 abit 2	50-1 Ki and 100-1 Ki wind speeds and wave neights at 5kagway ore does	n

Methodology

Float motions and pile loads were computed using the 3D radiation-diffraction code WAMIT (Reference 5). In a WAMIT model the underwater surface of a floating body is represented by a mesh of quadrilateral panels, at which fluid flow equations are solved to compute velocities and pressures arising in response to incoming wave excitation and body acceleration. Pressures are integrated over the underwater hull surface to compute wave excitation forces, hydrodynamic added mass, and wave radiation damping.

The meshes representing the Option 1 and 2 floats are shown in Figure 6 and Figure 7, respectively.



Figure 6 Option 1 WAMIT mesh



Figure 7 Option 2 WAMIT mesh

A long-crested, irregular JONSWAP wave spectrum is assumed for the analysis. The wave spectrum is represented as a series of superimposed regular waves at a range of frequencies spanning the energy content of the spectrum. At each frequency the wave amplitude is fixed by the spectral energy at that frequency, and the phase is assumed to be random.

At each frequency the rigid-body motion responses of the float are computed from the 6-DOF equations of motion.

To compute the extreme response in one hour, with 10% probability of exceedance, the exposure time $T_{exposure}$ is ten hours, which gives approximately 10,000 response cycles in the 50-year and 100-year return period storms.

Motions were calculated for wave headings $\pm 40^{\circ}$ from 0° which coincides with "project north" as given in Reference 6, 45.9° clockwise from true north.

Pile motions were multiplied by maximum pile stiffness values to determine pile loads in the longitudinal and transverse directions. It was assumed that pile stiffnesses are constant. As shown in Reference 3, pile stiffnesses are inversely related to pile deflection. However, due to the relatively low deflections, less than 0.7 ft in either direction, pile reactions are assumed to be their maximum.

Float motions and pile stiffnesses were calculated at mean higher high water (MHHW) and mean lower low water (MLLW). The values presented in the tables below are the maximum values occurring between MHHW and MLLW.

The WAMIT analysis performed is linear, meaning the geometry above the waterline is not modeled and the waterline shape is assumed constant as the free surface varies. This assumption is valid for small motions. As a float responds to waves in extreme conditions, there are changes in its submerged geometry that impact its behavior nonlinearly. This type of behavior is most apparent for roll and pitch where geometry changes are largest for small motions. WAMIT does not compute the nonlinear effects of the geometry above the free surface. Some wave overtopping is expected during extreme wave events, and these events are not captured by the model. These effects have a greater effect on the steel float due to the complexity of its shape and the free surface between pontoons beneath the float deck. The analysis does not account for wave loading on the cross-deck structure of the steel float.

Float Motions

Figure 8 presents the float axes used to define motions. The +X axis is aligned with project north.



Figure 8 Float axis definitions and naming conventions

Motion	Cor 48"	ncrete Piles	Con 42"	crete Piles	St 48"	eel Piles	Steel 36'' Piles		
	50-YRP	100- YRP	50-YRP	100-YRP	50-YRP	100-YRP	50-YRP	100-YRP	
Surge (ft)	0.38	0.44	0.64	0.68	0.09	0.10	0.18	0.19	
Sway (ft)	0.33	0.39	0.27	0.32	0.06	0.07	0.18	0.19	
Heave (ft)	0.98	1.10	0.98	1.10	1.41	1.46	1.41	1.46	
Roll (deg)	2.43	2.90	1.79	2.14	2.50	2.74	2.50	2.74	
Pitch (deg)) 2.04	2.42	2.04	2.43	1.13	1.31	1.13	1.31	
Yaw (deg)	0.08	0.09	0.13	0.15	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.05	

Table 3 s	ummarizes the maximum motions of each float under 50-YRP and 100-YRP waves
Table 3	Summary of maximum float motions

Pile Loads

Table 4 summarizes the maximum pile loads on each of the float options.

Table 4	Summary	of maximum	pile loads	s in kips
			1	

Pile	Directio n	Concrete 48" Piles		Con 42"	icrete Piles	St 48''	teel Piles	Steel 36" Piles		
		50-YRP	100-YRP	50-YRP	100-YRP	50-YRP	100-YRP	50-YRP	100-YRP	
NW	+X	148	158	183	195	61	65	37	40	
	+Y	157	184	126	145	90	99	47	54	
SW	+X	148	158	183	195	61	65	37	40	
	+Y	157	184	126	145	101	111	53	61	
NE	+X	121	130	150	159	47	50	29	31	
	+Y	110	129	86	99	63	69	32	36	
SE	+X	121	130	150	159	47	50	29	31	
	+Y	103	120	81	93	70	77	36	41	
N Mid	+X	100	107	105	112	47	50	22	28	
	+Y	75	89	48	57	50	55	24	27	
S M:4	+X	86	92	123	130	41	44	26	32	
5 IVI10	+Y	67	79	56	66	43	48	27	30	

Appendix A Float Motions

Tables 5 through 16 present the motions of the Option 1 float for each wave heading relative to project north. Tables 17 through 28 show the float motions for the Option 2 float by heading.

0	ption ^	1 —	Concrete	Float -	48-inch	Piles
	-					

Hs (ft)	Tp (ft)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
6.89	4.48	0.25	0.25	0.29	0.35	0.38	0.33	0.27	0.23	0.22
	4.98	0.30	0.28	0.30	0.35	0.36	0.33	0.28	0.26	0.29
	5.48	0.38	0.33	0.33	0.35	0.36	0.34	0.31	0.32	0.37
7.49	4.59	0.28	0.28	0.31	0.38	0.41	0.36	0.29	0.25	0.25
	5.09	0.35	0.32	0.34	0.38	0.39	0.36	0.31	0.30	0.33
	5.59	0.44	0.38	0.36	0.38	0.39	0.37	0.35	0.37	0.43
Table 6	+Y motions ((ft) – Optio	n 1 – 48" Pi	les						
Hs (ft)	Tp (ft)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
6.89	4.48	0.13	0.08	0.08	0.06	0.00	0.06	0.08	0.08	0.13
	4.98	0.22	0.14	0.12	0.10	0.00	0.10	0.12	0.14	0.22
	5.48	0.33	0.21	0.17	0.14	0.00	0.14	0.18	0.21	0.33
7.49	4.59	0.17	0.10	0.09	0.07	0.00	0.07	0.10	0.10	0.17
	5.09	0.27	0.17	0.14	0.11	0.00	0.11	0.14	0.17	0.27
	5.59	0.39	0.26	0.21	0.17	0.00	0.17	0.21	0.26	0.39
Table 7	+Z motions ((ft) – Optio	n 1 – 48" Pi	les						
Hs (ft)	Tp (s)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
6.89	4.48	0.53	0.47	0.43	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.43	0.47	0.53
	4.98	0.81	0.74	0.66	0.61	0.60	0.61	0.66	0.74	0.81
	5.48	0.95	0.98	0.92	0.86	0.84	0.86	0.92	0.98	0.95
7.49	4.59	0.65	0.57	0.52	0.50	0.49	0.50	0.52	0.57	0.65
	5.09	0.93	0.88	0.78	0.72	0.70	0.72	0.78	0.88	0.93
	5.59	1.04	1.10	1.05	1.00	0.97	1.00	1.05	1.10	1.04

Table 5 +X motions (ft) – Option 1 – 48" Piles

Hs (ft)	Tp (s)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
6.89	4.48	0.98	0.57	0.53	0.38	0.00	0.38	0.53	0.57	0.98
	4.98	1.70	1.02	0.82	0.62	0.00	0.63	0.82	1.01	1.69
	5.48	2.43	1.54	1.19	0.93	0.00	0.94	1.20	1.54	2.43
7.49	4.59	1.24	0.72	0.63	0.46	0.00	0.47	0.64	0.72	1.23
	5.09	2.01	1.22	0.97	0.74	0.00	0.75	0.97	1.22	2.00
	5.59	2.90	1.84	1.42	1.12	0.00	1.12	1.42	1.84	2.89
Table 9	Pitch motion	ns (deg) – O	ption 1 – 48	" Piles						
Hs (ft)	Tp (s)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
6.89	4.48	0.75	0.58	0.53	0.52	0.52	0.52	0.53	0.58	0.75
	4.98	1.27	0.95	0.86	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.86	0.95	1.27
	5.48	2.03	1.42	1.20	1.17	1.17	1.17	1.20	1.42	2.04
7.49	4.59	0.92	0.72	0.65	0.63	0.63	0.63	0.65	0.72	0.92
	5.09	1.54	1.13	1.02	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.02	1.13	1.54
	5.59	2.42	1.69	1.39	1.34	1.33	1.34	1.39	1.69	2.42
Table 10	Yaw motion	s (deg) – Oj	ption 1 – 48'	" Piles						
Hs (ft)	Tp (s)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
6.89	4.48	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.07
	4.98	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.07
	5.48	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.06	0.08
7.49	4.59	0.09	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.08
	5.09	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.08
	5.59	0.09	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.09

 Table 8
 Roll motions (deg) – Option 1 – 48" Piles

Option 1 – Concrete Float – 42-inch Piles

Hs (ft)	Tp (ft)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
6.89	4.48	0.36	0.42	0.52	0.61	0.64	0.58	0.47	0.37	0.31
	4.98	0.41	0.43	0.50	0.56	0.58	0.54	0.45	0.38	0.38
	5.48	0.48	0.46	0.49	0.53	0.54	0.51	0.46	0.44	0.47
7.49	4.59	0.40	0.46	0.56	0.65	0.68	0.62	0.51	0.41	0.35
	5.09	0.47	0.48	0.54	0.60	0.62	0.57	0.49	0.43	0.44
	5.59	0.54	0.51	0.54	0.57	0.59	0.55	0.50	0.49	0.53
Table 12	+Y motions ((ft) – Optio	n 1 – 42" Pi	les						
Hs (ft)	Tp (ft)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
6.89	4.48	0.14	0.13	0.12	0.08	0.00	0.08	0.12	0.13	0.14
	4.98	0.18	0.15	0.17	0.11	0.00	0.11	0.17	0.15	0.18
	5.48	0.27	0.19	0.23	0.15	0.00	0.15	0.23	0.19	0.27
7.49	4.59	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.09	0.00	0.09	0.14	0.14	0.15
	5.09	0.22	0.17	0.19	0.12	0.00	0.12	0.19	0.17	0.22
	5.59	0.32	0.23	0.27	0.18	0.00	0.18	0.27	0.23	0.32
Table 13	+Z motions (ft) – Optio	n 1 – 42" Pi	les						
Hs (ft)	Tp (s)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
6.89	4.48	0.53	0.47	0.43	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.43	0.47	0.53
	4.98	0.81	0.74	0.66	0.61	0.60	0.61	0.66	0.74	0.81
	5.48	0.95	0.98	0.92	0.86	0.84	0.86	0.92	0.98	0.95
7.49	4.59	0.65	0.57	0.52	0.50	0.49	0.50	0.52	0.57	0.65
	5.09	0.93	0.88	0.78	0.72	0.70	0.72	0.78	0.88	0.93
	5.59	1.04	1.10	1.05	1.00	0.97	1.00	1.05	1.10	1.04

Table 11 +X motions (ft) – Option 1 – 42" Piles

Hs (ft)	Tp (s)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
6.89	4.48	0.74	0.78	0.75	0.45	0.00	0.45	0.75	0.78	0.74
	4.98	1.10	1.20	1.21	0.74	0.00	0.73	1.21	1.20	1.10
	5.48	1.64	1.69	1.79	1.09	0.00	1.09	1.79	1.69	1.64
7.49	4.59	0.88	0.93	0.92	0.55	0.00	0.55	0.92	0.93	0.88
	5.09	1.31	1.42	1.44	0.87	0.00	0.87	1.44	1.41	1.32
	5.59	1.96	1.98	2.14	1.31	0.00	1.31	2.14	1.98	1.96
Table 15	Pitch motior	ıs (deg) – O	ption 1 – 42	" Piles						
Hs (ft)	Tp (s)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
6.89	4.48	0.75	0.58	0.53	0.52	0.52	0.52	0.53	0.58	0.75
	4.98	1.27	0.95	0.86	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.87	0.95	1.27
	5.48	2.04	1.42	1.20	1.17	1.17	1.17	1.20	1.42	2.04
7.49	4.59	0.92	0.72	0.65	0.63	0.63	0.63	0.65	0.72	0.92
	5.09	1.54	1.13	1.02	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.02	1.13	1.54
	5.59	2.43	1.69	1.39	1.34	1.34	1.34	1.39	1.69	2.43
Table 16	Yaw motion	s (deg) – Oj	ption 1 – 42	" Piles						
Hs (ft)	Tp (s)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
6.89	4.48	0.13	0.12	0.11	0.11	0.10	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.11
	4.98	0.12	0.12	0.11	0.10	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.10
	5.48	0.13	0.11	0.10	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.12
7.49	4.59	0.15	0.13	0.12	0.12	0.11	0.10	0.09	0.10	0.12
	5.09	0.13	0.13	0.12	0.11	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.10	0.11
	5.59	0.14	0.11	0.10	0.09	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.10	0.14

 Table 14
 Roll motions (deg) – Option 1 – 42" Piles

0	ption	2	 Steel 	F	loat	_	48-	inch	Ρ	iles

Hs (ft)	Tp (s)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
6.89	4.48	0.07	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.08
	4.98	0.06	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.06
	5.48	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.05
7.49	4.59	0.08	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.08
	5.09	0.06	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.07
	5.59	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.06
Table 18	+Y motions	(ft) – Optio	n 2 – 48" Pi	les						
Hs (ft)	Tp (s)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
6.89	4.48	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.05
	4.98	0.06	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.04
	5.48	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.04
7.49	4.59	0.07	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.06	0.05
	5.09	0.06	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.04
	5.59	0.06	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.04
Table 19	+Z motions	(ft) – Option	1 2 – 48" Pi	les						
Hs (ft)	Tp (s)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
6.89	4.48	1.41	1.25	1.03	0.91	0.85	0.84	0.96	1.19	1.35
	4.98	1.13	1.02	0.93	0.88	0.85	0.82	0.84	0.92	0.99
	5.48	1.03	0.87	0.78	0.76	0.75	0.71	0.69	0.76	0.88
7.49	4.59	1.46	1.31	1.12	1.00	0.93	0.93	1.03	1.24	1.36
	5.09	1.19	1.06	0.97	0.94	0.91	0.87	0.88	0.95	1.03
	5.59	1.10	0.93	0.82	0.80	0.78	0.74	0.72	0.81	0.95

Table 17	+X motions	(ft) –	Option	2 - 48''	Piles
----------	------------	--------	--------	----------	-------

Table 20	Roll motions	(deg) –	Option 2	- 48"	Piles
----------	--------------	---------	-----------------	-------	-------

Hs (ft)	Tp (s)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
6.89	4.48	2.45	2.50	2.16	1.69	1.31	1.14	1.17	1.45	1.82
	4.98	2.19	2.44	2.26	1.82	1.38	1.22	1.29	1.58	2.06
	5.48	2.06	2.26	2.17	1.85	1.58	1.53	1.62	1.87	2.23
7.49	4.59	2.61	2.74	2.40	1.88	1.44	1.22	1.26	1.56	1.94
	5.09	2.33	2.60	2.44	1.98	1.54	1.40	1.48	1.80	2.30
	5.59	2.23	2.42	2.34	2.02	1.76	1.72	1.83	2.06	2.48
Table 21	Pitch motion	ıs (deg) – O	ption 2 – 48	" Piles						
Hs (ft)	Tp (s)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
6.89	4.48	0.91	0.77	0.66	0.62	0.62	0.64	0.72	0.85	0.97
	4.98	0.98	0.89	0.75	0.70	0.70	0.73	0.80	0.96	1.05
	5.48	1.11	0.90	0.84	0.79	0.78	0.81	0.89	0.97	1.13
7.49	4.59	1.01	0.87	0.73	0.67	0.67	0.70	0.80	0.95	1.07
	5.09	1.06	0.98	0.83	0.79	0.79	0.81	0.89	1.06	1.13
	5.59	1.29	0.96	0.92	0.88	0.87	0.90	0.97	1.03	1.31
Table 22	Yaw motions	s (deg) – Oj	ption 2 – 48'	" Piles						
Hs (ft)	Tp (s)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
6.89	4.48	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02
	4.98	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02
	5.48	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02
7.49	4.59	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02
	5.09	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02
	5.59	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02

0	ption	2	 Steel 	F	loat	_	36-	-inch	Ρ	iles

TT (0)		40	•	• •	10		4.0	• •	20	
Hs (ft)	Tp (s)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
6.89	4.48	0.15	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.17	0.18	0.18	0.15
	4.98	0.12	0.14	0.15	0.15	0.16	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.12
	5.48	0.10	0.11	0.12	0.12	0.13	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.10
7.49	4.59	0.15	0.18	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.16
	5.09	0.12	0.14	0.15	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.14	0.12
	5.59	0.11	0.12	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.12	0.11
Table 24	+Y motions	(ft) – Optio	n 2 – 36" Pi	iles						
Hs (ft)	Tp (s)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
6.89	4.48	0.18	0.13	0.10	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.09	0.12	0.11
	4.98	0.17	0.11	0.08	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.08	0.10	0.10
	5.48	0.15	0.10	0.07	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.09	0.09
7.49	4.59	0.19	0.13	0.10	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.10	0.12	0.11
	5.09	0.18	0.12	0.09	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.08	0.10	0.10
	5.59	0.16	0.11	0.08	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.07	0.09	0.10
Table 25	+Z motions	(ft) – Optio	n 2 – 36" Pi	les						
Hs (ft)	Tp (s)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
6.89	4.48	1.41	1.25	1.03	0.91	0.85	0.84	0.96	1.19	1.35
	4.98	1.13	1.02	0.93	0.88	0.85	0.82	0.84	0.92	0.99
	5.48	1.03	0.87	0.78	0.76	0.75	0.71	0.69	0.76	0.88
7.49	4.59	1.46	1.31	1.12	1.00	0.93	0.93	1.03	1.24	1.36
	5.09	1.19	1.06	0.97	0.94	0.91	0.87	0.88	0.95	1.03
	5.59	1.10	0.93	0.82	0.80	0.78	0.74	0.72	0.81	0.95

Table 23 +X motions (ft) – Option 2 – 36" Piles

Table 26	Roll motions	(deg) -	Option 2 -	- 36"	Piles
----------	--------------	---------	------------	-------	-------

Hs (ft)	Tp (s)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
6.89	4.48	2.45	2.50	2.16	1.69	1.31	1.14	1.17	1.45	1.82
	4.98	2.19	2.44	2.26	1.82	1.38	1.22	1.29	1.58	2.06
	5.48	2.06	2.26	2.17	1.85	1.58	1.53	1.62	1.87	2.23
7.49	4.59	2.61	2.74	2.40	1.88	1.44	1.22	1.26	1.56	1.95
	5.09	2.33	2.60	2.44	1.98	1.54	1.40	1.48	1.80	2.30
	5.59	2.23	2.42	2.34	2.02	1.76	1.72	1.83	2.07	2.48
Table 27	Pitch motion	ıs (deg) – O	option 2 – 36	" Piles						
Hs (ft)	Tp (s)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
6.89	4.48	0.91	0.78	0.66	0.62	0.62	0.64	0.72	0.85	0.97
	4.98	0.98	0.89	0.75	0.70	0.70	0.73	0.80	0.96	1.05
	5.48	1.11	0.90	0.84	0.79	0.78	0.81	0.89	0.97	1.13
7.49	4.59	1.01	0.87	0.73	0.67	0.67	0.70	0.80	0.95	1.07
	5.09	1.06	0.98	0.83	0.79	0.79	0.81	0.89	1.06	1.13
	5.59	1.29	0.96	0.92	0.88	0.87	0.90	0.97	1.03	1.31
Table 28	Yaw motions	s (deg) – Oj	ption 2 – 36	" Piles						
Hs (ft)	Tp (s)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
6.89	4.48	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.04
	4.98	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.04
	5.48	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.03
7.49	4.59	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.04
	5.09	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.04
	5.59	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.04

Appendix B Pile Loads

Tables 19 through 40 show the pile loads for the Option 1 float for each wave heading relative to project north. Tables 41 through 52 show the pile loads for the Option 2 float by heading.

Option 1 – Concrete Float – 48-inch Piles

	Hs (ft)	Tp (s)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
+X	6.89	4.48	86	90	108	137	148	131	106	90	84
		4.98	103	97	108	129	138	125	107	97	101
_		5.48	123	112	113	125	132	123	112	112	122
	7.49	4.59	97	99	117	147	158	141	115	99	94
		5.09	117	109	118	139	148	135	117	109	115
_		5.59	138	125	124	136	142	133	124	125	137
+Y	6.89	4.48	91	71	62	57	51	55	63	71	87
		4.98	102	80	72	57	43	54	69	76	105
		5.48	122	76	77	59	36	59	78	81	129
	7.49	4.59	102	81	69	61	53	59	70	79	99
		5.09	114	87	80	62	45	59	76	84	119
		5.59	141	87	86	66	38	68	90	89	148

 Table 29
 NW pile loads - Option 1 - 48" Piles

Table 30SW pile loads - Option 1 - 48" Piles

_	Hs (ft)	Tp (s)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
+X	6.89	4.48	86	90	108	137	148	131	106	90	84
		4.98	103	97	108	129	138	125	107	97	101
		5.48	123	112	113	125	132	123	112	112	122
	7.49	4.59	97	99	117	147	158	141	115	99	94
		5.09	117	109	118	139	148	135	117	109	115
		5.59	138	125	124	136	142	133	124	125	137
+Y	6.89	4.48	94	73	65	60	51	40	36	48	73
		4.98	105	74	70	59	43	40	44	59	88
		5.48	125	76	75	62	36	45	53	75	116
	7.49	4.59	104	81	72	65	53	43	41	53	82
		5.09	117	80	77	64	45	44	50	67	100
		5.59	144	87	84	69	38	52	62	87	136
Table 31NE pile loads – Option 1 – 48" Piles

	Hs (ft)	Tp (s)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
+X	6.89	4.48	75	76	90	113	121	105	83	68	62
		4.98	86	82	90	107	112	100	82	73	78
		5.48	99	91	93	103	106	97	87	87	96
	7.49	4.59	84	84	98	121	130	113	90	74	70
		5.09	96	92	99	115	120	107	90	82	89
		5.59	111	101	102	111	115	105	96	98	108
+Y	6.89	4.48	59	47	40	37	33	36	42	47	57
		4.98	67	53	47	37	28	36	45	50	69
		5.48	80	50	51	39	24	39	51	53	85
	7.49	4.59	67	53	45	40	35	39	46	52	65
		5.09	75	57	52	41	29	39	50	55	78
		5.59	93	57	56	43	25	44	59	59	97

Table 32SE pile loads - Option 1 - 48" Piles

	Hs (ft)	Tp (s)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
+X	6.89	4.48	75	76	90	113	121	105	83	68	62
		4.98	86	82	90	107	112	100	82	73	78
		5.48	99	91	93	103	106	97	87	87	96
	7.49	4.59	84	84	98	121	130	113	90	74	70
		5.09	96	92	99	115	120	107	90	82	89
		5.59	111	101	102	111	115	105	96	98	108
+Y	6.89	4.48	61	48	43	40	34	26	23	31	48
		4.98	69	49	46	39	28	26	29	33	58
		5.48	82	46	49	40	24	29	35	40	76
	7.49	4.59	68	53	47	42	35	28	27	35	54
		5.09	77	52	50	42	29	29	33	35	66
		5.59	95	53	55	45	25	34	41	46	89

Table 33	North Middle pile loads – Option 1 – 48" Piles	
----------	--	--

	Hs (ft)	Tp (s)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
+X	6.89	4.48	57	60	72	92	100	89	73	63	59
		4.98	69	65	72	87	94	86	74	69	71
		5.48	84	76	76	85	89	84	78	77	84
	7.49	4.59	47	64	65	79	99	107	96	80	69
		5.09	40	79	73	79	94	100	93	82	76
		5.59	35	94	85	84	92	96	91	86	86
+Y	6.89	4.48	19	13	12	8	2	8	11	12	18
		4.98	27	15	15	11	2	10	14	14	26
		5.48	36	22	20	15	2	15	19	21	35
	7.49	4.59	22	22	13	14	10	2	9	12	12
		5.09	19	31	17	17	13	2	12	16	17
		5.59	16	42	26	23	18	2	17	23	26

Table 34South Middle pile loads – Option 1 – 48" Piles

	Hs (ft)	Tp (s)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
+X	6.89	4.48	49	51	62	79	86	77	63	54	51
		4.98	59	56	62	75	80	74	64	59	61
		5.48	72	65	65	73	77	72	67	66	72
	7.49	4.59	55	56	67	85	92	82	69	60	57
		5.09	68	62	68	81	86	80	70	66	69
		5.59	81	73	72	79	83	79	73	74	81
+Y	6.89	4.48	16	11	10	7	2	8	11	12	17
		4.98	24	13	13	10	2	10	14	14	24
		5.48	32	19	18	13	1	14	18	20	32
	7.49	4.59	19	12	12	8	2	9	13	13	20
		5.09	28	16	15	11	2	12	16	16	28
		5.59	37	23	21	16	1	16	22	23	38

Option 1 – Concrete Float – 42-inch Piles

	Hs (ft)	Tp (s)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
+X	6.89	4.48	95	115	147	174	183	168	138	110	92
		4.98	107	114	136	157	165	153	129	109	105
		5.48	120	120	131	145	150	141	126	117	119
	7.49	4.59	105	124	157	185	195	179	148	118	102
		5.09	120	125	146	167	175	163	139	120	118
		5.59	133	132	142	155	161	152	137	129	132
+Y	6.89	4.48	97	85	77	73	68	74	80	85	96
		4.98	102	92	82	68	57	65	77	87	104
		5.48	117	97	83	65	49	59	77	94	126
	7.49	4.59	107	95	85	77	71	78	87	93	106
		5.09	114	100	90	73	60	69	83	95	116
		5.59	133	108	90	71	51	64	85	107	145

Table 35NW pile loads - Option 1 - 42" Piles

Table 36SW pile loads - Option 1 - 42" Piles

	Hs (ft)	Tp (s)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
+X	6.89	4.48	95	115	147	174	183	168	138	110	92
		4.98	107	114	136	157	165	153	129	109	105
		5.48	120	120	131	145	150	141	126	117	119
	7.49	4.59	105	124	157	185	195	179	148	118	102
		5.09	120	125	146	167	175	163	139	120	118
		5.59	133	132	142	155	161	152	137	129	132
+Y	6.89	4.48	106	94	87	81	68	54	54	68	81
		4.98	105	93	85	73	57	49	59	81	101
		5.48	117	97	82	66	49	49	64	94	126
	7.49	4.59	115	103	94	86	71	57	60	77	93
		5.09	114	100	91	77	60	53	66	90	115
		5.59	133	108	90	71	51	55	71	107	145

Table 37NE pile loads – Option 1 – 42" Piles

	Hs (ft)	Tp (s)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
+X	6.89	4.48	82	98	123	144	150	136	110	85	68
		4.98	90	97	114	130	135	122	101	83	81
		5.48	97	99	108	119	122	112	98	91	94
	7.49	4.59	91	106	131	152	159	144	118	91	76
		5.09	99	105	122	138	143	130	109	92	92
		5.59	107	108	117	127	130	121	107	101	105
+Y	6.89	4.48	63	55	50	47	44	48	52	55	62
		4.98	70	60	53	44	37	42	50	56	69
		5.48	80	67	54	42	32	39	50	65	86
	7.49	4.59	70	62	55	50	46	51	56	60	69
		5.09	78	65	59	48	39	45	54	62	79
		5.59	91	74	59	46	33	42	55	73	99

Table 38SE pile loads - Option 1 - 42" Piles

	Hs (ft)	Tp (s)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
+X	6.89	4.48	82	98	123	144	150	136	110	85	68
		4.98	90	97	114	130	135	122	101	83	81
		5.48	97	99	108	119	122	112	98	91	94
	7.49	4.59	91	106	131	152	159	144	118	91	76
		5.09	99	105	122	138	143	130	109	92	92
		5.59	107	108	117	127	130	121	107	101	105
+Y	6.89	4.48	69	61	57	52	44	35	31	36	48
		4.98	70	60	55	47	37	32	34	40	55
		5.48	81	60	53	43	32	32	39	46	67
	7.49	4.59	75	67	61	56	46	37	34	40	52
		5.09	78	65	59	50	39	34	38	45	62
		5.59	93	67	58	46	33	35	45	53	78

Table 39	North Middle	pile loads – Opti	on 1 – 42" Piles
----------	--------------	-------------------	------------------

	Hs (ft)	Tp (s)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
+X	6.89	4.48	53	65	84	99	105	97	81	65	56
		4.98	61	64	78	90	95	89	76	65	63
		5.48	70	69	75	83	87	82	74	69	70
	7.49	4.59	59	70	90	106	112	103	87	70	62
		5.09	69	71	83	96	101	95	82	72	70
		5.59	78	76	81	89	93	88	80	76	79
+Y	6.89	4.48	24	19	13	8	3	7	12	17	22
		4.98	34	23	15	9	2	8	13	22	33
		5.48	48	31	17	11	2	10	16	30	47
	7.49	4.59	27	21	15	9	3	8	13	20	26
		5.09	40	26	16	10	2	9	15	25	39
		5.59	57	37	20	12	2	12	19	36	56

Table 40South Middle pile loads – Option 1 – 42" Piles

	Hs (ft)	Tp (s)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
+X	6.89	4.48	62	76	98	116	123	113	94	76	65
		4.98	71	75	90	105	111	103	89	76	73
		5.48	81	80	87	97	101	96	86	80	82
	7.49	4.59	68	82	104	123	130	120	101	82	72
		5.09	80	82	97	111	118	110	95	84	82
		5.59	90	89	95	104	108	103	94	89	91
+Y	6.89	4.48	26	21	15	9	3	10	16	22	27
		4.98	39	26	17	9	3	10	18	27	39
		5.48	55	36	21	12	2	12	21	37	56
	7.49	4.59	31	24	17	10	3	11	18	25	32
		5.09	46	31	19	11	3	11	20	31	46
		5.59	65	43	24	14	3	14	24	43	66

	Hs (ft)	Tp (s)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
+X	6.89	4.48	45	59	61	59	58	58	60	59	48
		4.98	38	46	51	53	54	53	51	47	39
		5.48	37	41	42	43	44	43	42	41	39
	7.49	4.59	47	61	65	64	63	63	64	61	49
		5.09	41	48	53	55	56	55	53	49	42
		5.59	40	44	44	45	46	45	45	45	42
+Y	6.89	4.48	82	81	73	59	45	40	49	71	81
		4.98	69	76	75	61	47	44	55	72	82
		5.48	61	66	68	60	51	52	63	78	90
	7.49	4.59	86	89	81	65	49	44	55	77	86
		5.09	72	81	80	66	51	50	62	79	91
		5.59	66	70	73	65	57	59	70	87	99

Option 2 – Steel Float – 48-inch Piles Table 41 NW pile loads – Option 2 – 48" Piles

1 abic + 2 = 5 w plic loads $= 0$ plicin $2 = +0$ 1 lies	Table 42	SW pile	loads - O	ption 2 –	48" Piles
---	----------	---------	-----------	-----------	-----------

	Hs (ft)	Tp (s)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
+X	6.89	4.48	45	59	61	59	58	58	60	59	48
		4.98	38	46	51	53	54	53	51	47	39
		5.48	37	41	42	43	44	43	42	41	39
	7.49	4.59	47	61	65	64	63	63	64	61	49
		5.09	41	48	53	55	56	55	53	49	42
		5.59	40	44	44	45	46	45	45	45	42
+Y	6.89	4.48	99	101	82	60	43	39	47	60	69
		4.98	83	98	90	70	48	37	43	53	63
		5.48	70	86	85	71	53	42	45	57	74
	7.49	4.59	104	111	93	69	48	42	50	64	71
		5.09	86	103	97	77	53	41	46	57	70
		5.59	74	90	91	77	59	48	51	64	84

Table 43NE pile loads - Option 2 - 48" Piles

	Hs (ft)	Tp (s)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
+X	6.89	4.48	34	45	47	45	44	43	45	44	36
		4.98	29	35	39	41	41	40	38	35	29
		5.48	28	31	32	33	33	32	31	31	29
	7.49	4.59	35	47	50	49	48	47	48	46	37
		5.09	31	37	41	42	43	41	39	36	31
		5.59	30	33	34	34	35	34	33	33	31
+Y	6.89	4.48	57	57	51	41	31	28	34	49	56
		4.98	48	53	52	43	32	31	39	50	57
		5.48	42	46	48	42	35	36	44	55	63
	7.49	4.59	60	62	57	46	34	31	38	54	60
		5.09	50	56	56	46	36	35	43	55	63
		5.59	46	49	51	45	39	41	49	61	69

Table 44SE pile loads - Option 2 - 48" Piles

	Hs (ft)	Tp (s)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
+X	6.89	4.48	34	45	47	45	44	43	45	44	36
		4.98	29	35	39	41	41	40	38	35	29
_		5.48	28	31	32	33	33	32	31	31	29
	7.49	4.59	35	47	50	49	48	47	48	46	37
		5.09	31	37	41	42	43	41	39	36	31
		5.59	30	33	34	34	35	34	33	33	31
+Y	6.89	4.48	69	70	57	42	30	27	33	42	48
		4.98	58	68	63	49	33	26	30	37	44
		5.48	49	60	59	49	37	30	32	39	52
	7.49	4.59	73	77	65	48	34	29	35	44	50
		5.09	60	72	68	53	37	29	32	40	49
		5.59	52	63	63	54	41	34	36	45	59

 Table 45
 North Middle pile loads – Option 2 – 48" Piles

	Hs (ft)	Tp (s)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
+X	6.89	4.48	35	46	47	46	45	46	47	46	38
		4.98	30	36	40	41	42	41	40	37	31
		5.48	29	32	32	33	34	34	33	33	31
	7.49	4.59	37	47	50	49	49	49	50	48	39
		5.09	33	38	41	43	44	43	41	38	33
		5.59	32	34	34	35	36	35	35	35	33
+Y	6.89	4.48	49	50	43	33	24	22	26	35	39
		4.98	40	48	46	36	26	22	26	32	37
		5.48	34	41	43	37	29	26	29	35	44
	7.49	4.59	51	55	48	37	27	24	28	37	41
		5.09	42	51	49	40	29	25	28	35	42
		5.59	37	44	45	40	32	29	32	40	49

Table 46 South	Middle pile	loads – O	ption 2 –	48" Piles
----------------	-------------	-----------	-----------	-----------

	Hs (ft)	Tp (s)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
+X	6.89	4.48	31	40	41	40	39	40	41	40	33
		4.98	26	31	34	36	36	36	35	32	27
		5.48	26	28	28	29	30	29	29	29	27
	7.49	4.59	32	41	44	43	43	43	44	42	34
		5.09	28	33	36	37	38	37	36	33	29
		5.59	28	30	30	30	31	31	31	31	29
+Y	6.89	4.48	42	43	37	29	22	19	23	31	35
		4.98	34	41	40	32	23	20	24	30	34
		5.48	30	35	37	32	25	23	26	33	40
	7.49	4.59	44	48	42	32	24	21	25	34	37
		5.09	36	43	43	35	25	22	26	33	38
		5.59	32	38	39	34	28	26	30	37	44

Option 2 - Steel Float - 36-inch Piles

Table 47NW pile loads - Option 2 - 36" Piles

	Hs (ft)	Tp (s)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
+X	6.89	4.48	28	35	37	37	37	37	37	35	29
		4.98	23	27	29	30	32	31	30	27	23
		5.48	21	24	24	25	26	25	25	24	22
	7.49	4.59	29	38	40	39	40	39	40	38	31
		5.09	25	30	33	35	35	34	33	30	25
		5.59	24	26	27	28	29	28	27	27	25
+Y	6.89	4.48	43	43	38	31	24	21	26	37	42
		4.98	36	40	39	32	24	23	29	38	43
		5.48	34	35	36	31	27	27	33	41	47
	7.49	4.59	47	46	43	35	26	24	31	44	48
		5.09	39	42	41	35	27	27	34	44	50
		5.59	37	36	38	34	30	32	39	48	54

Table 48	SW r	oile loads –	Option 2 –	- 36" Piles

	Hs (ft)	Tp (s)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
+X	6.89	4.48	28	35	37	37	37	37	37	35	29
		4.98	23	27	29	30	32	31	30	27	23
		5.48	21	24	24	25	26	25	25	24	22
	7.49	4.59	29	38	40	39	40	39	40	38	31
		5.09	25	30	33	35	35	34	33	30	25
		5.59	24	26	27	28	29	28	27	27	25
+Y	6.89	4.48	52	53	43	32	23	21	25	32	36
		4.98	43	51	47	36	25	19	22	28	33
		5.48	37	45	44	37	28	22	24	30	39
	7.49	4.59	58	61	51	37	26	22	27	35	39
		5.09	48	57	53	42	28	21	25	31	38
		5.59	41	50	50	42	31	25	27	34	45

Table 49NE pile loads - Option 2 - 36" Piles

	Hs (ft)	Tp (s)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
+X	6.89	4.48	22	28	29	29	29	28	28	27	22
		4.98	18	21	23	24	25	24	23	21	18
		5.48	17	19	19	20	20	19	19	18	17
	7.49	4.59	22	29	31	31	31	30	30	28	23
		5.09	19	22	25	26	26	25	24	22	19
		5.59	18	20	21	21	21	20	20	20	18
+Y	6.89	4.48	29	29	26	21	16	14	17	25	28
		4.98	24	27	26	22	16	16	19	25	29
		5.48	22	23	24	21	18	18	22	28	32
	7.49	4.59	31	31	29	23	18	16	21	29	32
		5.09	26	28	28	23	18	18	23	30	34
		5.59	24	25	25	23	20	21	26	32	36

Table 50SE pile loads - Option 2 - 36" Piles

	Hs (ft)	Tp (s)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
+X	6.89	4.48	22	28	29	29	29	28	28	27	22
		4.98	18	21	23	24	25	24	23	21	18
		5.48	17	19	19	20	20	19	19	18	17
	7.49	4.59	22	29	31	31	31	30	30	28	23
		5.09	19	22	25	26	26	25	24	22	19
		5.59	18	20	21	21	21	20	20	20	18
+Y	6.89	4.48	35	36	29	21	15	14	17	21	24
		4.98	29	34	32	25	17	13	15	19	22
		5.48	25	30	30	25	19	15	16	20	26
	7.49	4.59	39	41	34	25	17	15	18	24	26
		5.09	32	38	36	28	19	14	17	21	25
		5.59	28	33	33	28	21	17	18	23	30

 Table 51
 North Middle pile loads – Option 2 – 36" Piles

	Hs (ft)	Tp (s)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
+X	6.89	4.48	17	21	22	21	22	22	22	22	18
		4.98	14	17	19	19	20	19	19	17	15
		5.48	14	15	15	16	16	16	16	15	15
	7.49	4.59	20	26	28	27	27	27	28	27	22
		5.09	18	21	23	24	24	24	23	21	18
		5.59	17	18	19	19	20	20	19	19	17
+Y	6.89	4.48	24	24	21	16	12	11	12	17	19
		4.98	19	23	22	18	13	11	13	16	18
		5.48	17	20	21	18	14	12	14	17	21
	7.49	4.59	25	27	24	18	13	12	14	19	20
		5.09	21	25	24	20	14	12	14	18	20
		5.59	18	21	22	19	16	14	16	20	24

	Hs (ft)	Tp (s)	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
+X	6.89	4.48	19	25	26	25	25	25	26	25	21
		4.98	17	20	22	22	23	23	22	20	17
		5.48	16	17	18	18	19	18	18	18	17
	7.49	4.59	23	31	32	31	31	31	32	31	25
		5.09	20	24	27	28	28	28	27	24	21
		5.59	19	21	22	22	23	23	22	22	20
+Y	6.89	4.48	26	27	23	18	14	12	14	20	22
		4.98	22	26	25	20	14	13	15	19	21
		5.48	19	22	23	20	16	15	17	20	25
	7.49	4.59	28	30	27	21	15	13	17	22	24
		5.09	23	27	27	22	16	14	17	21	24
		5.59	20	24	25	22	18	17	19	24	28

Table 52South Middle pile loads – Option 2 – 36" Piles

Appendix F – Electrical Design Narrative



TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

To: Ed DeBroeck From: Ben Haight Date: 17 June 2022

SUBJECT: Skagway Dock – Narrative of 30% Electrical Design

Scope of Loads:

- Move existing Aerial 2.4 KV Power Lines to Underground.
- Power to the existing Ore Terminal Facility and Temsco.
- Power to new Floating Cruise Dock.
- Power to new Marine Service Platform and Fuel Header.
- Power for new RO/RO Ramp.
- Future Medium-voltage Cruise Ship Shore Power.
- Power to future Bathroom/Passenger Waiting Building.

There are two aerial lines supported by single structures along the shoreline between the ore terminal facility and the existing docks. The upper line is powered at 35 KV for transmission of energy between Skagway and Haines. This line transitions to a submarine cable at the southern shore. The lower line is powered at 2.4 KV and provides energy to the existing cruise ship dock and the ore terminal & ore loader via pad-mounted transformers near to those facilities.

The submarine cable to Haines will be replaced with a new cable routed from a new shoreside facility located near the Dyea Road in a separate project. It appears the new cable will be placed into operation in the next two to three years. We are not including new underground conduits for this circuit.

A new 2.4 KV underground feeder will be routed from the northern end of the project site (at an H structure next to State Street) to the southern end. It will be terminated at junctions strategically located to serve the RO/RO Ramp, the Marine Service Platform, and the Floating Cruise Dock, as well as the existing Ore Terminal Facility, Temsco, and a future Bathroom/Waiting Building. The cables and junctions may be rated to allow a future voltage increase to 12.5 KV. Two 4-inch conduits will be provided for this feeder.

Future medium-voltage shore power for the cruise ship dock will require the construction of a small substation somewhere close to the dock. It will occupy an area of approximately 60 ft x 80 ft. The substation will not be included in this project, but is expected to be located at the northern end of the project site. Power from the substation to the cruise ship dock will require

four 6-inch conduits. They will terminate at a vault onshore near the approach to the dock to allow for pulling in the cables.

We plan to include conduit for communications for future needs. A 2-inch conduit terminating at pedestals located near the approach to the dock and at the southern end of the project site will be included in the duct bank.

The RO/RO Ramp will have a service including a pad-mounted transformer and 480V distribution panel to power lights on the ramp and associated catwalk and dolphin, any equipment related to the operation of the ramp, a powered capstan on the associated dolphin, and cathodic protection for the fuel lines which pass underground under the new ramp.

The Marine Service Platform will have a service including a pad-mounted transformer and 480V distribution panel to power lights on the platform and associated catwalk and dolphins, any equipment related to the operation of the fuel header, a powered capstan on the associated dolphin, and provision for future electrically-powered mobile equipment. Provision for medium-voltage power for a mobile harbor crane is not included.

The existing Ore Terminal Facility (three-phase 240V) and Temsco (one-phase 240V) will be served by new pad-mounted transformers at the location of the existing pole-mounted Temsco transformer. This work will be coordinated with the respective owners and the utility, and temporary power will be provided to the existing utility customers during construction.

The Floating Cruise Dock will have a service including a pad-mounted transformer and 480V distribution panel to power lights on the dock and associated catwalk and dolphins, powered capstans (to be installed on the existing dolphins), and 120V maintenance power. The future Bathroom Building will also be served by this transformer. As an option, the dock could also be provided with 480V shore power; this would increase the flexibility of the dock, but would entail a much larger transformer and one or more power mounds installed on the dock.

Appendix G – Float Bid Drawings

	OVIDE FLOAT UNITS AND CONNECTIONS CAPABLE OF WITHSTANDING DESIGN LOADING	10.	UTILITY LOAD
1.	FLOAT UNITS SHALL BE CAPABLE OF SUPPORTING ALL DESIGN LOAD COMBINATIONS	A.	WORK WITH 1 PROGRESSES
2.	FREEBOARD:	11.	THE FLOAT N
	A. DEAD LOAD FREEBOARD: 4'-8"		BE LOCATED
	B. THE FREEBOARD UNDER ALL DEAD LOADS SHALL NOT BE MORE THAN 2 INCH BELOW OR MORE THAN 1 INCH ABOVE THE SPECIFIED FREEBOARD AFTER ONE YEAR OF OPERATION. DEAD LOADS SHALL CONSIST OF THE FLOAT SYSTEM, RUBSTRIPS, BULLRAIL, PILE RESTRAINT GUIDES, TRANSITION PLATES, AND ALL OTHER ATTACHED APPURTENANCES.	12.	MOORING BOI MOORING BOI UFC 4-152- PI AN·
3.	UNIFORM LIVE LOAD:		
	A. 90 PSF UNIFORM LIVE LOAD LL(U) (FOR DESIGN OF STRUCTURES)		
	B. FOR FLOATATION THE FLOAT SHALL CONSIDER 40PSF LIVE LOAD OVER THE ENTIRE FLOAT OR 1/4 OF THE FLOAT WITH 90PSF IN ANY LOCATION.		
4.	LIVE LOAD:		
	A. 18 KIP AXLE FORKLIFT LOAD		LOCATION
	B. EMERGENCY AMBULANCE TYPE III VEHICLE 16,000 LB AXLE LOAD	BOLL	ARDS ON FLOA
	C. 4000 LB POINT LOAD	13	STABILITY RE
	D. VEHICLE LOAD = AASHTO H10 TRUCK	Δ	
	E. FREEBOARD UNDER DL + POINT LL TO BE 6 FEET MINIMUM.		CONDITIONS
	F. CROSS SLOPE SHALL NOT EXCEED 2%.	14.	PILE HOOPS
5.	SNOW LOAD:	Α.	PILE HOOPS
	60 PSF	В.	PILE HOOPS FLOATS MAY
6.	WIND LOAD:	С.	THE PILES SI
	40 KNOT 30 SECOND DURATION WIND SPEED (WITH CRUISE SHIP DOCKED)		UNOBSTRUCT LOCATION.
	140 MPH 3 SECOND GUST WITH NO CRUISE SHIP AND NO LIVE LOAD ON FLOAT	D.	PILE HOOPS
7.	CURRENT LOAD:		BEARINGS SH
	1.5 FT/SEC CURRENT SPEED	E.	PILE HOOPS
8.	SITE WAVE CONDITIONS		TOOLS WORK
	ONE-HOUR AVERAGE WIND SPEED (KTS) 59.61 63.76	F	THE MOS'S N
	ACES SIGNIFICANT WAVE HEIGHT (FT) 6.89 7.49		AND DETERM
	ACES WAVE MODAL PERIOD (SEC) 4.98 5.09		ACCOMMODAT HOOPS IS NE
9.	BERTHING LOAD:		
	FENDER PANELS AND FLOAT STRUCTURE SHALL ACCOMMODATE A FENDED DEACTION		

- 2: `		NO.	DATE	BY	REVISION	A RATA TATA
2022 35 Ska						
lul 05, 210013	трт					STAL 450
tted: 、 2021	1601 5th Avenue, Suite 1300 Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 382-0600 Fax (206) 382-0500					
M: /						TO THE KLOV

DS:

THE SITE DESIGNER TO ESTABLISH UTILITY LOADING AS DESIGN S ON THE OVERALL PROJECT.

MANUFACTURER SHALL PROVIDE FOUR LIFE PRESERVERS AND EXTINGUISHERS WITH THE FLOAT SYSTEM. THESE ITEMS SHALL AND MOUNTED TO THE FLOATS IN SUCH MANNER THAT THEY DO UPON THE FUNCTIONALITY OF THE FLOATING DOCK.

OLLARDS SHALL BE RATED FOR 150 TONS SAFE WORKING LOAD. OLLARDS SHALL BE DESIGNED WITH A LOAD FACTOR OF 1.6 PER -01 DESIGN PIERS AND WHARVES 2017.



I	RATED CAPACITY P	RANGE OF HORIZONTAL ANGLE ⁰ H	RANGE OF VERTICAL ANGLE θ _V
FLOAT	150 TONS	0° TO 180°	0° TO +60°

EQUIREMENTS:

ACENTRIC HEIGHT SHALL BE 2'-0" MIN. UNDER ALL LOADING

AND PILES:

S SHALL BE EXTERNAL TO THE FLOATS.

S SHALL HAVE A REMOVABLE BOLTED PORTION SUCH THAT THE BE DETACHED FROM THE SYSTEM AND MOVED.

SHALL BE LOCATED TO ONE SIDE OF THE FLOATS TO MAXIMIZE THE TED TRAVEL PATH. COORDINATE WITH ENGINEER ON FINAL PILE

S SHALL HAVE ADJUSTABLY TO ACCOMMODATE UP TO 6" OF PILE IN ANY DIRECTION. MAXIMUM SPACE BETWEEN PILES AND SHALL BE 1.5" AFTER SHIMS ARE PLACED.

SHALL HAVE UHMW PADS TO CONTACT THE PILES. THE PADS REMOVABLE IN A MANNER THAT DOES NOT REQUIRE HANDLING OR TO OCCUR BETWEEN THE PILE AND THE PAD. THE UHMW PADS E A MINIMUM OF 1.5" OF WEAR THICKNESS AVAILABLE.

NAVAL ARCHITECT, GLOSTEN ASSOCIATES, WILL MODEL THE FLOAT MINE FLOAT MOVEMENTS DURING STORM CONDITIONS THE FLOAT RE WILL WORK WITH THE MOS TO FINALIZE PILE HOOP DESIGN TO ATE THEM MOVEMENTS. IT MAY BE THAT FENDERS INSIDE THE PILE IEEDED.

15. FLOAT UTILITIES

- A. UTILITY ROUTING ON FLOATS ARE SCHEMATIC AND SHALL BE FINALIZED DURING DESIGN PROCESS
- 16. TRANSFER SPAN CONNECTION

THE TRANSFER SPAN CONNECTION SHALL ACCOMMODATE THE DEAD, LIVE AND WIND LOADS OF THE TRANSFER SPAN.

165 KIPS (ACCOUNTS FOR HALF OF THE TRANSFER SPAN WEIGHT) DEAD LOAD LIVE LOAD 112 KIPS

(THESE LOADS ARE APPROXIMATE AND WILL BE FINALIZED DURING DESIGN)

FLOAT COATINGS AND CORROSION PROTECTION 17.

> THE EXTERNAL SURFACE OF THE STEEL PONTOON FLOAT SHALL HAVE A MULTI LAYER CORROSION PROTECTION SYSTEM. THE COATINGS SHALL INCLUDE SPRAY METALIZING, A ZINC PRIMER AND A MARINE EPOXY PAINT THE COATINGS SYSTEM SHALL BE SUBMITTED TO MOS REVIEW.

> > CRUISE T SKAG

> > > FLOAT D

ERMINAL FLOAT	DRAWN:	DYU	PROJECT NO.: 2100135	
WAY, ALASKA	DESIGN:	ED	SCALE: AS SHOWN	┟┝──
	CHECKED:	RR	DATE: 06/15/2022	ш
	DRAWING	NO.	F1 1	က
			1 1.1	
	SHEET NO).	01 OF 03	m



F1.2 نټ ъ ф ó š. 15, Jun ted:

CRUISE T SKAG	DE SKAGDZAF	REVISION	BY	-
PRELIMINARY	GRANCE TO THE KLOTTO			



	BY	REVISION	· 第六百六百九五八	CRUISE T
.022	EJD	BID ADDENDUM #1	OF SKAGWAP	SKAG
			STALL	
				FL
			TO THE KLOTON	

ш BID